Case 1:1	-cv-00122-LPS Document 411 Filed 09/18/18 Page 1 of 181 PageID #: 19635
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES
4	CORPORATION, : CIVIL ACTION
5	: Plaintiff, :
6	v :
	GROUPON, INC.,
7	: NO. 16-122-LPS Defendant.
8	
9	Wilmington, Delaware
10	Friday, July 20, 2018 Jury Trial - Volume E
11	
12	BEFORE: HONORABLE LEONARD P. STARK, Chief Judge, and a jury
13	APPEARANCES:
14	POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON, LLP
15	BY: DAVID E. MOORE, ESQ., BINDU A. PALAPURA, ESQ., and
	STEPHANIE E. O'BYRNE, ESQ.
16	and
17	DESMARAIS, LLP
18	BY: JOHN DESMARAIS, ESQ.,
19	KARIM Z. OUSSAYEF, ESQ., LAURIE STEMPLER, ESQ.,
20	KEVIN K. McNISH, ESQ., MICHAEL MATULEWICZ-CROWLEY, ESQ.
21	ROBERT C. HARRITS, ESQ.,
	BRIAN D. MATTY, ESQ., and EDWARD GEIST, ESQ.
22	(New York, New York)
23	Counsel for Plaintiff
24	
25	Dale Hawkins Brian P. Gaffigan Registered Merit Reporter Registered Merit Reporter

Case 1:1	-cv-00122-LPS Document 411 Filed 09/18/18 Page 2 of 181 PageID #: 19636
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	ASHBY & GEDDES, P.A. BY: JOHN G. DAY, ESQ., and
4	ANDREW C. MAYO, ESQ.
5	and
6	FENWICK & WEST, LLP
7	BY: J. DAVID HADDEN, ESQ., SAINA M. SHAMILOV, ESQ.
8	PHILLIP J. HAACK, ESQ. SAPNA MEHTA, ESQ.
9	JESSICA M. KAEMPF, ESQ., ATHUL ACHARYA, ESQ., and
10	JESSICA BENZLER, ESQ. (Mountainview, California)
11	Counsel for Defendants
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	- 000 -
20	PROCEEDINGS
21	(REPORTER'S NOTE: The following jury trial was
22	held in open court, beginning at 8:30 a.m.)
23	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
24	(The attorneys respond, "Good morning, Your
25	Honor.")

1 THE COURT: Are there any issues from IBM this 2 morning? 3 MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Come on up. 4 5 MR. OUSSAYEF: Good morning, Your Honor. Oussayef for IBM. 6 7 There are some additional issues with the Dunham 8 demonstratives. 9 THE COURT: These would be the revised 10 demonstratives? 11 MR. OUSSAYEF: That's correct, Your Honor. So 12 we've received a revised set. Unfortunately we still have 13 objections here. So I'll just take Your Honor through a few 14 of them here. 15 Here, we have a picture of some code on the 16 right, and then on the left we have some, I think this is 17 the result of an experiment about what kind of request would 18 be sent from the server. All of this on the left, the 19 get-deals-gg-sofa-styles, user agent, the host language, 20 connection, all of that was not discussed during discovery. 21 THE COURT: You think it was an experiment. assume you asked. What did they same? 22 23 MR. OUSSAYEF: Well, we just got the 24 demonstratives this morning, so we didn't have a whole lot 25 of time to meet and confer, Your Honor.

Come to the podium if you want to be THE COURT: heard. And good morning to you.

23

24

25

MS. SHAMILOV: So the way websites work, every

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time you click on anything on the website, a request gets sent to the server. So essentially what counsel is now saying, because we didn't capture every possible request that can be generated from every single link and goes to the Groupon server, we did not disclose it. They have access to our website. They had all of the source code, entirety of the source code relevant. THE COURT: We talked about this yesterday. You apparently designated the entire source code --MS. SHAMILOV: No, no, no. MR. HADDEN: I'm sorry. THE COURT: You all have to wait until I'm done talking. I'm sorry. MS. SHAMILOV: THE COURT: I know it takes me awhile sometimes. We talked about giving proper notice of what you were going to use. We talked about this is a fact witness. We're not even up to your expert yet, so, you know, tell me how this is fair and proper to pull out of the entirety of group source code when you haven't told them before that this was the type of command you wanted to show through a fact witness. MS. SHAMILOV:

MS. SHAMILOV: This is the source code page that we specifically did identify in the disclosure. So this is the source code page that they were asking our witnesses

1 about in depositions and technical pages. This is the 2 mustache template. They talked about mustache templates in 3 their summary judgment. This has been throughout the case considering the fact they were asking our witnesses about, 4 5 our witnesses testified about. I mean mustache templates 6 were throughout. 7 user clicks on the computer, on the link on our website, a request, an HTTP request gets generated and it gets sent to 8 9 our servers. Our servers use mustache template that they 10 themselves put in their case-in-chief, right? And asked our witnesses about. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Here is what, here is the mustache template that they knew exactly what Mr. Dunham would disclose this particular mustache template, and here is what, how, what our servers do, how our system works to generate a response back. This is how our website works. This is what Mr. Dunham is going to say. He is an engineer. He is a patent holder.

This is a demonstrative that says when the

THE COURT: Is there prejudice to you to doing this through your expert.

MS. SHAMILOV: Absolutely. Basically that means you can never put a fact witness --

THE COURT: We're not --

MS. SHAMILOV: -- who wrought the code.

THE COURT: I'm asking you in the context of

this case, in this trial, with the issues that came up

yesterday with rulings I made yesterday, where is the

prejudice at this point in this case? Don't worry about the

MS. SHAMILOV: Sure.

other cases.

THE COURT: Can you wait until you get your expert witness to go through whatever code and whatever experiments you want to do?

MS. SHAMILOV: First of all, this is not an expert, Your Honor. There is going to be absolutely no experiment discussed. And if Mr. Dunham starts talking and they think it's an experiment, they can raise an objection and deal with it there.

But I think Groupon is entitled is to present a fact witness, an engineer to describe how a system works, and I think it is prejudicial to have the jury only hear it from the expert, and that they say that Groupon is paying this expert and he is biased, instead of hearing how the system works, just about facts from an engineer who works at Groupon.

So I represent to you here there will be no experiments discussed, there will be absolutely no claim language discussed. There will be absolutely nothing pointed out Dr. Schmidt said this, is that right or wrong. There will be absolutely nothing discussed about

1 | nc
2 | di
3 | Th
4 | wi
5 | th
6 | lc
7 | Gr

noninfringement or, you know. The only thing that will be discussed is Mr. Dunham will explain how our system works. That is a factual issue. We're entitled to present a fact witness to the jury, you know, from the company to describe that instead of relying on an expert that they can make a lot of fun about that he is biased and he is getting paid by Groupon.

MR. HADDEN: One other point is Dr. Schmidt relied on Mr. Dunham's testimony purportedly for his understanding of how it worked. And now it looks like Mr. Dunham should be able to explain how it works.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Oussayef.

MR. OUSSAYEF: Your Honor, just to be clear, we don't object to using exhibits that are properly disclosed. The problem we have is where the content is on the left, the documentation of the request, that is something we didn't know about. It's also something that Dr. Schmidt didn't talk about.

There is no prejudice to having their expert discuss any kind of documentation of request or how he thinks, you know, the system works when you capture it and put it on to a screen. This is just a preference they have to do it through a fact witness. And it is prejudicial to us because we don't know what the scope of the fact witness testimony will be as opposed to an expert where both parties

have disclosed and we know what the experts are going to say.

THE COURT: All right. But at this point, do you think you know the scope of what the fact witness is going to say through the demonstrative?

MR. OUSSAYEF: Your Honor, honestly, I have a lot of trepidation about what is actually going to happen with this fact witness. I don't know how he is going to use this request, and that is one of the strong bases for my request to object to this and to exclude what is on the left here, this request.

THE COURT: Well, come tell us how he is going to use this request.

MR. HADDEN: This is just going to be an example of a request that comes in from a browser like any other when a user clicks on a link on Groupon. And it will explain how our backend service oriented architecture takes this request and produces the responsive page. It's just a technical explanation of the steps of how our system works from receiving a browser request to returning a page.

That's his job at Groupon to build those systems and that is what he is going to explain.

THE COURT: Do your revised demonstratives disclose all of the requests that you plan to have Mr. Dunham talk about?

MR. HADDEN: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Oussayef. Did that 2 explanation help? 3 MR. OUSSAYEF: No, Your Honor. Unfortunately This is a request we're seeing for the first time 4 5 literally this morning, so we don't know exactly how they're going to use this request. And --6 7 THE COURT: But what was it about that Mr. 8 Hadden just said that doesn't tell you how they're going to 9 use the request? MR. OUSSAYEF: So, Your Honor, if I may go 10 11 through a few more slides. 12 THE COURT: Sure. MR. OUSSAYEF: This slide in isolation is 13 14 something that we object to. But as we go through the rest of the slides, we'll see additional issues and how much this 15 has really strayed into the firm territory of an expert. 16 17 So here we have Phil Dunham with hearsay testimony on the Elmo. And I guess he is going to talk 18 19 about what testimony he said in his deposition and try to 20 distinguish why that isn't being interpreted correctly. 21 That is something an expert would typically do. 22 Here we have a document where Groupon is citing 23 to backend services, their response that this document was produced during discovery, but this is something they got 24

off of their backend servers, as I understand, and got a

native version so it's actually legible.

Here is the one that is actually in evidence. I assume that the boxes, I didn't see any of the boxes that they are using legibly here. So I assume it is one of these grayed out boxes, but during the meet-and-confer process, they told us that somewhere in there is the backend services that Mr. Dunham is going to give testimony about and elucidate us about how to extrapolate that from this illegible document.

Here is some additional code snippet that was not disclosed during discovery.

THE COURT: Well, to be clear, they disclosed all of their code, right?

MR. HADDEN: Yes.

MR. OUSSAYEF: Your Honor, actually they disclosed the backend code. There is public facing code on, that you can see when you access Groupon's website. So if you go to the website, depending on where you go on the website, you get responses back, and that can be a whole bunch of code that could go anywhere on your computer that comes from the public facing website.

That public facing code that was downloaded was not disclosed. It is just a backend code that was disclosed. So we're not able to understand that this is a particular piece of code that they wanted to cite to from

their public facing website.

Furthermore, we had no notice that this particular snippet out of the thousands, hundreds of thousands of code in this case was going to be the one that they would present through their fact witness. It was through an expert witness we have disclosure how they were going to use particular code.

Here we have an internal error, which I assume was the result of another test showing that, I don't know what they're going to see here, but I would imagine they would say something like if you go to this website in a particular way you get some kind of error. Another experiment that was not disclosed.

And, finally, you have another extrapolation from the document. You can actually see a little bit more clearly, Your Honor, that it is the same document I put on the Elmo that is illegible, and you can see the kind of pattern of long and blue boxes and then long and red that looks like this in the version that is entered into evidence in a legible version we didn't have a fair chance to respond to.

So in total, it's clear that although they made some modifications, Mr. Dunham is here to testify as a late disclosed expert in a way that we can't predict.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hadden.

MR. HADDEN: Can you leave that up. So document
was produced, it was used throughout the case, there is a
clear image of it in their expert report. He relies on the
same document and draws boxes around it. There is no
dispute that they have this document, everyone knows what it
was.
THE COURT: So I'm sorry, a legible version of
this document was produced?
MR. HADDEN: Yes, it's reproduced throughout
Dr. Schmidt's expert report.
THE COURT: Mr. Oussayef, is that not true?
MR. OUSSAYEF: That's not true, Your Honor.
THE COURT: When I look at Dr. Schmidt's report,
it's not in there?
MR. OUSSAYEF: This color version where you can
actually read everything, that was not produced in this
case.
THE COURT: Put aside the color for a moment.
MR. HADDEN: Well
THE COURT: Hold on. One person at a time.
Put aside color, did you get a legible version
of this document during discovery?
MR. OUSSAYEF: No, Your Honor, you can read
THE COURT: Hold on. And if we look at
Dr Schmidt's report no legible version of this document is

1 in his report? 2 MR. OUSSAYEF: Your Honor, just for 3 clarification, you can read, you can read some of this content, but it is very difficult. So to the extent we say, 4 5 you know, whether it's legible or not, I mean, he did rely on parts that he could read, but as you can see, Your Honor, 6 7 it's very difficult to do. 8 THE COURT: All right. Someone has got to show 9 me the Schmidt version, I guess. Mr. Hadden, you can come 10 back and talk about the other issues while you're looking for that. 11 12 MR. HADDEN: Sure. 13 THE COURT: What about the deposition excepts? 14 MR. HADDEN: The only point is they put that 15 deposition up in front of the jury already and they said it 16 meant one thing and he's going to explain what he was 17 actually talking about. 18 THE COURT: That's an excerpt of deposition 19 testimony that's already in the record? 20 MR. HADDEN: Yes, it's already been put up for 21 the jury. 22 THE COURT: Part of the designations they 23 played?

MR. HADDEN: They put a slide up with that exact

24

25

quote and his picture.

1 THE COURT: When did they do that? 2 MR. HADDEN: Dr. Schmidt's testimony. 3 THE COURT: Part of Dr. Schmidt's testimony? 4 MR. HADDEN: Dr. Schmidt relied on him, he said 5 Filepp said this and Filepp is going to explain what he's 6 talking about in that clip. 7 The thing that they're saying is an experiment is just -- let me see if I can find. 8 9 THE COURT: That is the Oops? 10 MR. HADDEN: That is what a user of Groupon 11 website gets on their screen if they try to purchase when 12 their cookies are disable, they are explaining we require cookies to make purchases. If you come to our site and you 13 14 don't have cookies, you get this screen that says Oops, you cannot purchase. That's not an experiment, that's just how 15 16 it works. 17 THE COURT: What do you anticipate the testimony 18 will be about how that Oops screen was generated? 19 MR. HADDEN: He's not going to talk about it. 20 He's going to say if you have cookies disability, you can't 21 make a purchase, you're going to get an error screen. This is what customers see. 22 23 THE COURT: What about the specific code that 24 was on one of the slides? 25 MR. HADDEN: All of this code was produced.

1 This is actually code that you can see in your browser, but 2 we produced all this and he's just going to explain that 3 this is the code that actually causes the popup to show. 4 THE COURT: All right. I think that was 5 everything except for the illegible document. I don't know if you have it yet. 6 7 MR. OUSSAYEF: So this is --8 MR. HADDEN: There is a color version. We have 9 this in color. We produced it electronically in color. 10 MR. OUSSAYEF: This is the version we have. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 In the expert report. MR. OUSSAYEF: 13 THE COURT: Are you telling me that you can't 14 read that? 15 So this, I don't know what that MR. OUSSAYEF: 16 word says, you know, I don't know what this word says or 17 this word says. 18 THE COURT: Are those, the line you're pointing 19 to, is that the four that they have pulled out and proposed 20 to discuss with Mr. Dunham? 21 MR. OUSSAYEF: I'm not sure where the four that they're relying on come from. 22 23 MR. HADDEN: I think I can simplify this if you 24 like. I don't care about the four whatever you pulled out 25 at the bottom. You want us to take that part out or not

1	show it, I'm fine. This is just a detail document for their
2	internal engineers that kind of explains the more simplified
3	document. We're not going to talk much about it. I'm going
4	to give a sense of the different layers. If he has a
5	concern that there is anything in here that he can't read,
6	I'll take that out.
7	THE COURT: Do you want to use the version
8	that's in the expert report?
9	MR. HADDEN: It's kind of hard to see, but if
10	that's the issue.
11	THE COURT: I mean, your witness presumably
12	knows what it says; right?
13	MR. HADDEN: He does, but it's hard for the jury
14	to see what's going on. If it's those four boxes at the
15	bottom, we'll take out that part.
16	THE COURT: Is there any objection to using the
17	version that's in this report?
18	MR. OUSSAYEF: No objection, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Do you object doing that?
20	MR. HADDEN: No, we can do that.
21	THE COURT: So let's do that and the witness
22	will explain what he sees in those boxes.
23	MR. HADDEN: That's fine.
24	MR. OUSSAYEF: So that leaves the code snippets
25	and that's really the key issue here. There is two, there

use this code.

is two issues here. The first is this code which they say is available on the public version of their website. There is no way that we could have predicted that they're going to

and I have the representation that you're not seeing anymore of these than they've disclosed to you, you got your expert here, he can testify on rebuttal, it's a public facing code, you had it, you had access to it, tell me what the real prejudice is to you if Mr. Dunham does this this morning?

MR. OUSSAYEF: There is another piece of this prejudice of this, this is a change in their website that has happened since we initiated suit. And we have an interrogatory saying if there is any changes in your website from when we file suit and in the past, please tell us if you think it effects infringement.

This was not identified as a particular change in the website. I don't know what kind of argument they're going to make, but I imagine it's going to be a non-infringement argument and, therefore, not being disclosed in our interrogatory about changes.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Has their expert talked about this portion of code?

MR. OUSSAYEF: No.

THE COURT: So we know we're not going to hear

1 him talk about it, give an opinion that this is the basis 2 for non-infringement; right? 3 MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes, but I would argue that just shows that the fact witness should also not be making a 4 5 non-infringement argument that was not disclosed to us. 6 THE COURT: Right. Okay. Let's talk about 7 this. 8 MR. HADDEN: May I respond briefly? This is not 9 a change in the website. The website is not changed. 10 always been just this. 11 THE COURT: What is the relevance of this code 12 here? 13 MR. HADDEN: The relevance is we talked Sure. 14 about that global container div. What that does, we talked about you have -- it does nothing unless it's referenced by 15 PC code. He is going to explain this is the PC code it 16 17 references. All it does is dim this background in a certain 18 situation where a user comes who has not signed up for 19 email, they want to encourage them to sign up for email. 20 THE COURT: Does it have anything to do with any 21 issue in this case? 22 MR. HADDEN: Other than just explaining to the 23 jury why we have that global container div in our web pages, 24 this is what it does, he's going to explain that.

THE COURT: Are you proposing to ask him if that

1 shows infringement? 2 MR. HADDEN: No, I'm just going to tell him this 3 is why we do it. 4 THE COURT: And you're representing there is no 5 change? 6 MR. HADDEN: No, this is --7 THE COURT: Let me finish the question. 8 MR. HADDEN: Sorry, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: I know you already know the answer, 10 but let me get the question out. 11 So are you representing there has been no change 12 to this portion of the code at any time during this case? 13 MR. HADDEN: I am. And so the weird thing is, 14 this only happens if you're sort of a new customer, never done, blah, blah, which is why maybe they think it's a 15 change, they just didn't trigger it. 16 17 THE COURT: Did you have something to add before I turn back the podium? 18 19 That's about the image quality. MS. SHAMILOV: 20 THE COURT: We'll come back to that. 21 Mr. Oussayef, let's finish up on this one. So what I heard is we're going to 22 MR. OUSSAYEF: 23 show that the global container works one way or another, and we're not going to talk about infringement. In that case, 24

there is no relevance to this. I think what the real issue

is yesterday we had the global container and we saw that they delete, they seem to be deleting it to show here is what's going to happen, and because that was expert testimony, that objection was sustained. Now they're just doing it in a different way. Now they're saying hey, if you go to the website and you see this sign in screen, there is a global container effect, and here is the technical explanation and the expert information about what is happening on the back-end for the global container based on this signup screen.

And this signup screen, this circle signup screen is new. That's not something that existed when we filed suit.

THE COURT: I thought your point was that the code changed. They now tell me the code didn't change.

MR. OUSSAYEF: Your Honor, I mean, I have gone to the website myself, and the only time I have seen this is very recently.

But setting -- I mean, so I'm not sure how to resolve that dispute between the parties. But setting that aside, the fact that you're relying on one particular script, and the global -- let me put it this way, Your Honor, the global container dispute is something that's not in any expert report, but we anticipate that they're using it to answer the Filepp patents. This is a sign-in screen.

So there is no way we could have predicted they were going to use the signup screen to Groupon to make an argument about the Filepp patents.

What they're saying is if you go to the website and there is a signup screen, then that affects the global container. And the global container is relevant to Filepp patents. And therefore the global container will affect whether there is infringement of the Filepp patents. That chain is nothing we could have never predicted.

with arguments when we get to arguments and experts when we get to experts. For now I'm overruling the objection to this slide. The fact witness can talk about it. I have the representation that the code didn't change. I have the representation he's not going to talk about infringement. There is enough reference to global containers and divs that I think it's a relevant issue and the defense is entitled to have some testimony about it. So that objection is overruled.

On the deposition testimony, do you still have an objection to that?

MR. OUSSAYEF: I mean, if he's just going to respond to what -- I mean, I guess Dr. Schmidt had this testimony and I worry that they're going to say Dr. Schmidt misinterpreted what I said so here is what I really said.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He shouldn't be talking about Dr. Schmidt. If he wants to say here what is I meant, I think that's a hearsay discussion. I think he should just say here is the truth as opposed to let me compare what I said previously and let me tell you what that means and let me interpret that for you. That seems like a more expert thing to do. MR. HADDEN: I think it's fair for him to be able to explain what testimony means when he said it, when he was asked and what he's talking about. I'm going to overrule the objection. THE COURT: If the witness wants to talk about his own words, I'm going to let him do it. They're words that are already in the The plaintiff put them in the record. The Oops code, anything more to say about that? I'm it's not an experiment and what we're going to hear is this is what you get when you do the wrong thing, I guess, whatever it was. The only thing is that that's MR. OUSSAYEF: another thing that was not disclosed in expert discovery, so that's, you know, the objection I'm making. THE COURT: All right. Well, I hear that, but I now think we have contained the universe of the potential

Did you have more to say? I think we resolved

surprises to the plaintiff and I don't think it's unduly

prejudicial at this point.

the legibility issue, but if you have more to say.

MS. SHAMILOV: Yes, I do. On the legibility issue during their case in chief in trial here, they disclosed better quality images of the exhibits they used, so the parties agreed, I have an email exchange, that a party may replace poor print or digital copies of exhibits with improved or higher digital copies. We agreed on that. That's what we did. We swapped their trial exhibits literally the night before their witnesses went. So we have an agreement we can use better quality images. They did it in their case in chief, and I have it in an email that was just a couple days ago exchanged between the parties.

MR. OUSSAYEF: Your Honor, I would just say the parties can use whatever the other side had before. So if we disclosed -- depending on how things were scanned, there might have been some slight differences in quality of the scan, but anything we had access to and that we used, I'm happy to have Groupon use, but if they're using a new version, a higher quality version that we had no way to access, that's what I would ask Your Honor to keep out of this case.

THE COURT: We've already resolved this for today. Mr. Hadden agreed to use the one that's in the expert report. I'm not going to draw the line between higher resolution and actually a materially new document.

1 don't have to draw that line right now. 2 Any other issues from IBM? 3 MR. OUSSAYEF: We do have objections to Mr. Davis' demonstratives as well. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. I'm not sure we're going to have 6 MS. SHAMILOV: 7 time for Mr. Davis today. 8 THE COURT: Let's see how far we can get. 9 MR. OUSSAYEF: Here we're kind of back to the 10 drawing board in terms of the expert testimony again. 11 THE COURT: Remind me who Mr. Davis is. 12 MR. OUSSAYEF: Mr. Davis is the alleged coder 13 who worked on the Amazon system which is, they say is prior 14 art to the '601 patent. So this deals with some -- we had an authenticity dispute about some of this. I'm not 15 focusing on authenticity at this moment right here, but I'm 16 17 objecting to the slides. 18 So first of all, we have a website that we have 19 never seen before. This I guess is from Washington.edu. 20 looks like an old website, so I anticipate there is some 21 kind of testimony about how this is prior art. There is 22 back and forth between the HTTP request and the session ID, 23 that seems to be a tech tutorial based on an old system that

we haven't seen before which would be expert testimony in an

undisclosed prior art theory.

24

Then here we have the employment agreement between Mr. Davis and Amazon. And I think they're trying to use this because in the employment agreement there is a hearsay statement about I developed a technique that allows the back-end systems on the right to maintain state information.

There is no prior inventorship theory in this case. This is a hearsay document. And it's completely irrelevant to the case. And it would be prejudicial to us to let in a statement, an employment agreement that is hearsay about having, you know, allegedly having invented some kind of state system when they're actually relying on the system.

Furthermore, the parties elected prior art to rely on. This was not in the election of prior art.

We have this version of the Amazon website which was an image that was in their expert report, but we've never seen this as produced during fact discovery, and their expert said I don't really know what this is.

THE COURT: So is that an authentication objection?

MR. OUSSAYEF: So that objection is that it was, it was untimely disclosed to us. And because their expert didn't know what it was even during expert discovery, we had no opportunity to investigate the origin of that document.

And now we get into a real problem here, which is Mr. Davis has apparently reconstructed a system that he says would appear like this on Amazon. That is what I'm understanding, although I don't know exactly how it's going to be used. This document here was never produced. I think what Groupon is going to say is that if you compile our HTML code, here is what it would look like. But that was never disclosed to us.

And certainly Mr. Davis's analysis later on about this is, how it would look like, and let me show you how it works is way, way into the expert testimony area; and we had no idea that this was something that was going to come up in this case.

THE COURT: Don't you expect he is going to testify this is code that I wrote?

MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes. So he should use the exhibit that was produced to us, which is the source code files, if that is the argument or if that is the testimony he would like to give.

THE COURT: All right. But you are not actually objecting to him as a fact witness talking about how this code works and what it would appear to the user to be doing and that sort of thing, are you?

MR. OUSSAYEF: Well, presenting a new --

THE COURT: Putting aside this document. You

broadly I think suggested, that I don't think you meant to but I want to make sure, that he is not here to testify about how the code he wrote actually works.

MR. OUSSAYEF: I think it's fine to testify about how the code he wrote actually works. I think --

THE COURT: And how you say as a user would interact with it, because I don't see the code but I interact with the facing screen. You object to him testifying about that?

(Counsel confer.)

MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes. So if it's based off of the code that they produced, then that's fine. If it's a new -- if it's code that hasn't been produced, then we're not fine with that.

So certainly the first few slides about the University of Washington has nothing to do with the code that was identified to us.

This screen I actually don't think necessarily corresponds to the -- I don't know what the correlation is between this screen and the code. I'm not -- to be honest, I haven't gone and tried to compile the code and see what pops out here.

So if there is -- I mean I guess I want to know where this comes from from Groupon and whether this is one code file or another code file, et cetera.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Are there more or is that it? 2 MR. OUSSAYEF: I mean this just goes into more 3 detail here about how he is going to use this. 4 THE COURT: This seems to be a subset of the 5 document you just showed me. 6 MR. OUSSAYEF: Exactly, Your Honor. And the 7 rest of these slides fall into a similar category as what I 8 just described. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from Groupon. 10 (Counsel confer.) 11 MR. OUSSAYEF: I objected to the slides I went 12 through, so I'm sorry if I don't know exactly which. 13 THE COURT: Let's start with the Washington 14 website. 15 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. Yes. Sure. To be clear, 16 our technical expert will not be talking about the 17 University of Washington website. This is not prior art. 18 There is no invalidity hearing based on that at all. What 19 Mr. Davis is going to get up and say I designed Amazon 20 I wrote the code, and that is what the code did, 21 and I knew how to do what I did at Amazon because I was a 22 programmer at the University of Washington before and I 23 implemented it there. And so when I came to Amazon, I 24 brought it with me.

They deposed Mr. Davis a full day. Here is what

1 he said in response to one of counsel's questions: 2 This is an implement -- he was talking about 3 implementation of Amazon's system. 4 This is an implementation of the same system, 5 the same system that I designed before I worked on Amazon, in 1993. 6 7 Counsel never followed up, never asked a single, another question about what was that system you designed in 8 9 1993? What did you talk about? 10 He told them that I did it before I showed up at 11 Amazon. They never followed up. But this is not --12 THE COURT: Hold on. 13 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. 14 THE COURT: I think the objection is to the 15 image. What do you say about that? MS. SHAMILOV: This is just, he is going to say 16 17 that when I worked at the University of Washington, I used 18 HTTP and HTML. And so what happen is when I designed that 19 system at the university, the way it worked like HTTP would 20 work and HTTP system request would go to the server, the 21 server will assign session ID, that code is there. It came bang to the website, back to the computer website shown. 22 23 THE COURT: The screen image. MS. SHAMILOV: You mean the actual web? 24 It's a 25 This is not -- this is a demonstrative. mockup. It's just,

1 it could be any website. I can just put the University of 2 Washington there and just have random blank lines. 3 literally a demonstrative. I think the purpose --4 THE COURT: So he is not purporting to 5 testify --6 MS. SHAMILOV: That that --7 THE COURT: -- this is what the screen looked 8 like. 9 MS. SHAMILOV: Not at all. 10 THE COURT: -- in 1993. 11 MS. SHAMILOV: No, no. Not at all. This is 12 literally just to say, yeah. 13 THE COURT: If I understood correctly, the 14 objection was to the screen portion; is that right? 15 MR. OUSSAYEF: I think any testimony about an 16 alleged prior system, that he is going to say this did it 17 too, is prejudicial to us. Because we went through an entire process of -- and hopefully this will work with the 18 19 computer -- of, you know, identifying --20 THE COURT: Right. 21 MR. OUSSAYEF: -- prior art references. 22 THE COURT: Nobody is here to argue that the 23 Washington system invalidates your patent. MR. OUSSAYEF: But --24 25 THE COURT: He is just talking about, hey, part

1 of my experience is I designed a system for the University 2 of Washington. So I had understood you were concerned with 3 the screen that was never disclosed to you. Now I'm told they'll make that black, they'll replace it with an image of 4 5 the University of Washington. Do you still have an objection? 6 7 MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes, Your Honor. If he is going 8 to testify about a prior system that he says also did the 9 invention, that is very prejudicial. Because there is going 10 to be no testimony on that. It's all going to be about the 11 Amazon system. So he can say I was working generally on, 12 you know, computer technologies. I was working at this 13 university, but if he says --14 THE COURT: All right. The objection is 15 I am going to let him testify about what he did overruled. 16 before, what his experience was. That is fact testimony. 17 And no expert is going to say that the University of 18 Washington system is in any way invalidating any 19 patents-in-suit. 20 MR. OUSSAYEF: Okay. THE COURT: So let's move on. What about the 21 22 employment agreement? MS. SHAMILOV: 23 The employment?

THE COURT: And, by the way, get rid of this,

24

25

the mockup screen image.

1 MS. SHAMILOV: Like a logo, University of 2 Washington. 3 THE COURT: Yes, of the university or something like that. 4 5 MS. SHAMILOV: We'll try to do that. 6 The employment agreement was produced to the 7 other side. 8 THE COURT: It's a hearsay objection, let's go 9 to. 10 MS. SHAMILOV: He is going to talk about to say: 11 And here is when I came to Amazon. I specifically told 12 Amazon that I sort of developed this thing at the University 13 of Washington about session IDs going back and forth and I 14 make sure that it goes into my employment agreement and told Amazon I did it and you, Amazon, cannot own it. It's just 15 16 that sort of he is describing his, sort of the discussion 17 how he came about to be hired by Amazon, and how he has his 18 story. 19 THE COURT: I don't know there is any objection 20 to telling that story. 21 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. 22 THE COURT: But there is an objection to 23 admitting and therefore showing the jury the document. 24 objection is hearsay same. Is it hearsay or not hearsay?

MR. HADDEN: I don't think it's hearsay.

1 THE COURT: Because? 2 MR. HADDEN: It goes to the truth of the matter. 3 It's just a document where he says something. THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry. Hold on. I mean 4 5 that could work except I understand the testimony is going to be, I did invent it and I did tell them that. 6 7 MS. SHAMILOV: It's an ancient document, 1994 date, so with that exception it's not hearsay. The document 8 9 is dated 1994. 10 MR. HADDEN: I don't think it's hearsay. statement is I told them that, and that that is in the 11 12 document. 13 THE COURT: But I think you want him to further 14 say I did invent this system. 15 MS. SHAMILOV: No. 16 MR. HADDEN: No. 17 THE COURT: Hold on. Let me finish. I did 18 invent the system at the University of Washington. He is 19 not going to testify to that? 20 MR. HADDEN: No, he is going to testify I did 21 this, like she talked about, I did this at the University of 22 Washington. And then when I came to Amazon, I wanted to 23 make sure that it was free for everyone to use so I told 24 Amazon this is what I did. It's mine. 25 That's all he is going to say. And the "this"

1 is whatever he said. He is not saying anything he said in 2 that agreement is true or false. He just said I made a 3 statement to Amazon. Whatever it is, it is mine and not 4 yours. 5 MS. SHAMILOV: And no expert again will use, our expert will not use, this is not going to be used as prior 6 7 art. Our expert is not going to talk about the employment 8 agreement. 9 THE COURT: Right. Do you want to come back on 10 this one. 11 MR. OUSSAYEF: So --12 MS. SHAMILOV: Go ahead. 13 MR. OUSSAYEF: Can we get that document? 14 Thanks. 15 So here is what he said explicitly: I have 16 developed a technique that allows the backend to maintain 17 That is an out-of-court statement, and that that is 18 exactly what they intend to prove. 19 Any purpose for, hey, this is to show they told 20 Amazon, that is not relevant to any issue in this case. 21 And even if it were relevant to some issue, the prejudice 22 of putting something on the screen that is an out-of-court 23 statement and that is said for the truth that I have 24 developed a technique that allows the backend to maintain 25 state is very prejudicial because the jury is going to

think, hey, here is a document that says that. It must be true. And that is clear hearsay. That is the purpose to which it is being used; and the fact that they say we informed Amazon, there is no relevance to that.

THE COURT: What about the ancient document argument?

MR. OUSSAYEF: The only way you can figure out that this may be an ancient document is from the date on the document, which is also hearsay. There is no indication about where this came from. And this is not the type of exception that makes sense for something that is asserted for the truth of the matter.

THE COURT: It is a statement by the witness who is coming here. You can cross-examine him on it. How is this problematic or inconsistent with the Rules of Evidence?

MR. OUSSAYEF: So the witness can testify to it. We would have no problem to that. That would not be hearsay because he would be in court. And I think if he wants to say I came up with this idea, he can say that. But that is not what is happening here. He is putting up a document to try to corroborate this, but it's hearsay. So if he wants to testify about how I did it before, he should be able to say that using testimony as opposed to.

THE COURT: Is there any dispute about what the date is he went to work for Amazon?

1 MR. OUSSAYEF: I'm not sure there is a dispute 2 about when he went to work for Amazon. 3 THE COURT: So isn't there sufficient indicia of reliability, the date that the document has on it? I think 4 5 we all know an employment agreement most likely is signed before you start working, right? 6 7 MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes, that's right, Your Honor. I think the issue is not how to confirm the date but just 8 9 how to confirm the statement that is in here. THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule 10 11 the objection. I'm not persuaded that it's not admissible 12 as hearsay, so let's move on to the next one, Ms. Shamilov. 13 I think this was the next one. MS. SHAMILOV: 14 THE COURT: The image of an Amazon website. 15 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. This was shown in the 16 opening. They did not object to it. He is just going to 17 say when I developed the website in 1995, I ran it, operated 18 it. This is just a visual depiction. 19 THE COURT: I'm being told today it was not 20 disclosed in a timely manner. 21 MS. SHAMILOV: It was produced. It was produced to the other side, and this image is in our expert report. 22 23 THE COURT: Right. But the expert apparently 24 didn't know where it came from. 25 MS. SHAMILOV: But I'm not admitting it into

1 This is a demonstrative. I'm not going to put 2 it into evidence. All Mr. Davis is just going to explain, 3 I developed it and this is an image that depicts how my website -- how the website looked in 1995, and I know it 4 5 because I ran it, I built it, I maintained it. going to move it into evidence. It was shown in our 6 7 opening. They do not object it to. 8 THE COURT: All right. I'm overruling that 9 objection. I think there is one left. 10 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Well, of them. 12 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: What is this document? 14 MS. SHAMILOV: So this is not an experiment. 15 did have access to any other source code they didn't have. Literally, this is the template files that are thrown out of 16 17 their summary judgment motion. They have had it. examined Paul Davis on it. You can open any document 18 19 through various -- oh, so you can open this in a text file, 20 it will look like this. If you open the template file in a 21 browser, it will look like this. 22 This is the, this is the source code. 23 a compilation. It not running of anything. It is literally a file that they have, that they have examined him on, that 24

they put in summary judgment, opened through a web browser.

25

And it depicts what the user would see when that template file that Mr. Davis will explain to the jury he wrote was ran by the system, what the user would see, what the web page would look like to the user because that is what the template file did.

No experiments, no compilations whatsoever.

Literally, the file that they have just opens with the web browser. That's all it is.

THE COURT: So that is where I'm getting lost because I'm being told this was never disclosed. You're saying it is all over their reports and their motions. Have you, are you trying to say you have done something to it, something simple?

MS. SHAMILOV: No, I didn't do anything. I just opened the file.

THE COURT: I mean opening a file might be something. I don't know. But when Mr. Oussayef says to me if I understood him correctly this was never disclosed and you tell me they have had it for a long time, are we talking about the same thing?

MS. SHAMILOV: Absolutely. This is a file produced, a template file, a template file that is just opened with a web browser. I didn't -- there is no manipulation done whatsoever to the actual file. Just opened it.

1 THE COURT: Did you produce it to them opened? 2 MS. SHAMILOV: How do I produce the file opened, 3 Your Honor? 4 THE COURT: I don't know. 5 MS. SHAMILOV: A file is an electronic fees 6 piece of data; right? It's on the source code. So they 7 came to inspect the source code as part of the source code. So it's not a hard copy. So they had files, you open those 8 9 files with a program like a Word document or, you know, 10 Excel, right? You just open it. I just opened an electronic file. 11 12 THE COURT: Let me see if I understand. You 13 gave them a bunch of source code. 14 MS. SHAMILOV: Well, Amazon did. Amazon 15 produced it. THE COURT: Okay. Amazon produced the source 16 17 code. You both have the same source code. 18 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. 19 THE COURT: It's a bunch of lines of code. 20 generate this document, you took a browser and pressed go 21 or something and ran that source code and then printed the 22 result. 23 MS. SHAMILOV: No. No. 24 THE COURT: Help me understand. 25 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. So all I did is -- so

1 because these are template files, they have URLs on them, 2 and so all I did, I just pull up it into the browser to see 3 with the template. So the purpose of the template file is how the user would see the page. So the template files 4 5 generate the page so you use those in a browser. 6 So I just looked at it as a file in the browser 7 to see how the page is generated. There was no manipulation Their expert inspected the source code. 8 to the file. 9 opened it. I mean I don't know how he opened it. He could 10 have inspected it. He would have just opened this file with 11 a browser. That is what he would see. 12 What browser did you open it with? THE COURT: 13 MS. SHAMILOV: Whatever I have on my computer, 14 Explorer. 15 THE COURT: And when did you open it? 16 MS. SHAMILOV: This file? Throughout the case, 17 once it was produced. I looked at through my Explorer to look at it and then to generate the demonstrative. 18 19 THE COURT: And if it's true, why was it never 20 produced in this template form open? 21 MS. SHAMILOV: But that -- because how do I do They would object that I opened it and produced the 22 23 They would do exactly the same thing. I mean I 24 gave them the source code, right?

The purpose is there is a source code.

25

1 have an expert who went and looked at it who presumably 2 knows how to look the a template files. I'm not supposed to 3 instruct or teach their expert how to open specific source 4 code on files, specific source code files to see, you know, 5 the details of it. I just -- right? I mean I'm not supposed to teach their expert how to review source code. 6 7 But there was absolutely no manipulation to 8 They had full disclosure of the template. They this file. deposed Paul Davis about it. They're in their summary 9 10 judgment. There is no prejudice whatsoever, what this does. 11 Mr. Davis will say these are the templates 12 files. The template files were mock-ups of the web pages. 13 If you open it, here is the mockup of the web page, and here 14 is what the user would see: 1, 2, 3, 4. And it is in this file. It is source code template file. 15 16 MR. HADDEN: Just to ... 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. HADDEN: Yes, it's just like any other HTML 19 You can view it as text or you can view it in a 20 browser. And this is just a HTML file. You can open it 21 with Notepad or you can open it in a browser. You open it 22 in a browser, it looks like this. If you open it in 23 Notepad, it has all the tags in there.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Oussayef.

MR. OUSSAYEF: I think one thing that is being

24

25

lost here, Your Honor, is there was a protective order in this case. We had to review the source code in native form in a locked down room on a computer that we could not use to manipulate or open in a browser or do anything else to the source code files. So while it is easy for them to take the electronic version of the code and put it into a browser and then disclose it to us last night, for us, we didn't have that opportunity.

THE COURT: Hold on. You never, in the years of this case, had the opportunity to open in a browser the Amazon source code?

MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor.
So let me give a little bit more context.

According to the protective order, source code is very sensitive. So what that means is that we need to be in a room with the source code review computer, and that source code review computer is locked down so we're not allowed to take the actual native versions. We can take pictures of the files but not the actual native versions.

So that means we don't have the opportunity to take the native versions back home with us and then open it in a browser or open it in some other way. So we did not have the opportunity to display this kind of screen and then take a screenshot and then be able to analyze it in the way that they're doing.

THE COURT: Did you ever seek that opportunity?

2

MR. OUSSAYEF: Well, we had source code. We

3

had a protective order in this case, and to think -- I mean we do not predict that this type of issue would come up with

4

the protective order regarding the source code. It didn't,

6

it didn't come to mind that, hey, maybe at trial the night

7

before, someone will come up with this screen so we should

8

seek to modify the protective order to do that kind of

9

analysis.

10

have logically come to mind during discovery because we did

11

not know this was going to be a tactic they would take as

So I don't think it's something that would

1213

opposed to, for example, compiling the whole, the whole

14

Amazon system and making a server out of it or doing a whole

15

bunch of different things. I mean it's just one of a number

of different ways that they could deploy the source code

16

17

that we couldn't do in a way we couldn't predict.

18

THE COURT: Is it correct to your understanding

19

would generate if you simply took the source code and opened

as a factual matter that this type of image is what you

20

it in a browser?

22

MR. OUSSAYEF: Honestly, Your Honor, I don't

23

know because we didn't have that opportunity.

24

THE COURT: And it was not predictable that they

25

would want to show the jury what, if I understand this

correctly, what a user would see in interacting with this system?

MR. OUSSAYEF: It wasn't predictable that this is what would be the end result or that this particular file would be the one that they would choose out of the thousands and thousands of files on the source code computer.

THE COURT: And what is your contention as to when they should have told you that?

MR. OUSSAYEF: When they should have told us?

During expert discovery I would say. I mean, I think that's a logical point at which both sides are saying here is what our experts are saying, I compiled the system in a visual web page, here is how it looked, other expert, would you like to respond to that and tell me whether you agree with how it looked and whether I'm misreading the source code or whether I'm opening it in a modern browsers versus an old browser and whether that has any affect on how it works, et cetera, et cetera, there is a million different ways of how it could impact expert discovery in the case.

THE COURT: Ms. Shamilov.

MS. SHAMILOV: They definitely did have an opportunity. The protective order says they can ask for any tool to load to the laptop, and we're to load it so they can use it. They have asked for a whole bunch of tools to be loaded on the source code review laptop and we loaded for

1 their expert to use. They never asked us to load a web 2 browser on the laptop computer. They had an opportunity to 3 There are not thousands of files, there is a ask for it. handful of template files in the source code that Amazon 4 5 produced. They knew that these template files generate how 6 7 the web page looks because that's what -- these template files are discussed in our expert report. The rebuttals 8 9 talk about the templates files, they deposed Paul Davis 10 about it, about the template files, there is no dispute that 11 these template files is what generated and matched what the 12 user will see. 13 THE COURT: How about the specific template 14 files that you want to use with Mr. Davis --They're only files --15 MS. SHAMILOV: 16 THE COURT: You have the answers, I know. 17 MS. SHAMILOV: I'm sorry. 18 THE COURT: The specific ones you want to use 19 with Mr. Davis, were they disclosed or were they not 20 disclosed? 21 MS. SHAMILOV: So they disposed Mr. Davis and talked to him about the template files. They talked about a 22

whole bunch of template files with him. At what point -- we

pretrial order last night. At what point am I supposed to

disclosed the files, the source code exhibits for the

23

24

25

1 tell them this is what I'm telling them Paul Davis is going 2 to talk about. 3 THE COURT: Why shouldn't I have you use the ones that you did disclose previously about Mr. Davis? 4 5 I did -- you mean, why can't I --MS. SHAMILOV: basically you're asking me why you shouldn't let me to show 6 7 what this would be? 8 THE COURT: If I understand correctly, you gave 9 them all the source code, but you also gave them some subset 10 of templates. Why not use the subset of template files that 11 you used? 12 MS. SHAMILOV: These are the template This is. 13 files. 14 THE COURT: But you didn't disclose them until 15 last night they're saying. 16 MS. SHAMILOV: We did. They're in our expert 17 reports. 18 THE COURT: The specific ones you want to use 19 today? 20 MS. SHAMILOV: Correct. 21 THE COURT: Is that true? 22 To be clear, Your Honor, the MR. OUSSAYEF: 23 templates are in the expert report. The product, opening 24 them up in a browser is not in the expert report. 25 THE COURT: The specifics images she wants to

1 show Mr. Davis? 2 MR. OUSSAYEF: They're not in expert report. 3 That image is nowhere to be found in this case until last night. 4 5 THE COURT: Are any similar specific images disclosed? 6 7 MR. OUSSAYEF: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And what again is the relationship between the templates and this specific image? 9 10 MS. SHAMILOV: A template is you open, you say 11 open this template in a web browser, that's it, it's how you 12 open the template files. Literally this is what you see if 13 you open the template in browser, that's all it is. 14 THE COURT: So I'm being told what I'm looking 15 at is not the template. MS. SHAMILOV: 16 It is. 17 THE COURT: How does it differ, or if it's a 18 question to him, I'll ask him. 19 MR. HADDEN: Can I try? THE COURT: 20 Try, please. 21 MR. HADDEN: You just have a file, it's like if you look at, I don't know, you view the source on your 22 23 browser and you see the HTML. 24 THE COURT: No. 25 MR. HADDEN: It's just a document, like a Word

document. Have you ever used Word Perfect back in the day?

THE COURT: I have used Word Perfect.

MR. HADDEN: There is a way you can hit something and see the tags, like a formatting tag.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HADDEN: That is what the HTML looks like if you do it in Notepad or Word. Or if you instead do it in a browser, it looks like a web page. So basically this is what you see in a browser, what you see if you look at it in a Notepad is this with a bunch of tabs, like the Word Perfect with tags.

THE COURT: Where does template come into?

MR. HADDEN: Template, it's called a template
because it's a web page that's not completely filled out.

That's why it has these little funny characters. What
Amazon would do is they would take this which is a piece of
HTML, a little file and then their programmer would fill in
the blanks before we give it to the user. You can either
view it as HTML with the tags, or you can just look at it in
the browser and the tags go away and you get the formatting
like you do in Word Perfect.

It's just, it's exactly the same electronic file that we gave them that they deposed Mr. Davis about. It's described in detail in our expert reports and their expert reports. This notion that oh, my gosh, it's HTML and I

wouldn't have thought to look at it in a browser is just kind of silly.

MR. OUSSAYEF: Your Honor, there is nothing that looks like that in their expert reports. There simply is not. And if there is, I would ask that Groupon's counsel identify where they think it is, because there is nothing in the expert reports. We have looked.

And furthermore, the template file they rely on in their expert report is this one here, a user-review.cpp.

My understanding is that they're not relying on that template file to produce the image we see.

There is two problems here. One, we have never seen the image they just showed up there. That is no where in the expert report. If they have an image that looks like what Mr. Davis is putting up there, you know, I would like to see it.

And second of all, this is what is described in the expert report, user-review does CPP. That's the only template file that's discussed in the expert report.

Whether the image or the underlying file, neither of those are user-review.cpp. If they can show in the expert report where that particular template file is disclosed or where the image is in particular, then they should be permitted to do it, but if they can't, they should not be permitted to use that.

THE COURT: I'm overruling the objection.

Admittedly I'm not an expert in the computer science and I'm

struggling to understand the relationship of all these concepts here. I mean, it's the plaintiff's objection. I'm not persuaded by the plaintiff that there is something

6 improper going on here that is unfairly prejudicial to the

7 plaintiffs.

The defendants disclosed or you all had the same source code. I have no reason to think that you didn't all have a fair opportunity to run this same code on a browser.

And I'm told by the defendants that the template really can't be any true surprise to the plaintiff. And you will have your expert back here if you want on rebuttal, so the objection is overruled.

Obviously we're now a half hour into the jury's time. Are there other objections from IBM?

MR. OUSSAYEF: Just quickly. We do have an authenticity objection to all of the source code from Amazon. My proposal to Your Honor is that we hear the evidence today regarding Ms. Pomeroy will be a witness that is allegedly testifying about authenticity about the source code and also Mr. Paul Davis, and then at the end, we can resolve those authenticity objections because I think in fairness we need to hear how this evidence comes up.

THE COURT: So you reserve the right to object,

it would not be considered waived?

MR. OUSSAYEF: That's correct. I just want to clarify given Your Honor's procedure that say no objection when an exhibit is offered into evidence, we would like to object later on.

THE COURT: Any objection from Groupon to that process whereby IBM when asked will say we don't object, but we all know they reserve their right to object?

MS. SHAMILOV: I have sort of more of like a practical question for the Court with respect to that. So they do have an authentication objection where I think their argument is there is no chain of custody for the document throughout, sort of the origination to production. That is not something that the jury will be deciding, right, that is an issue that the Court has to resolve.

Ms. Pomeroy is here to explain sort of where the source code CD that Paul Davis will testify was retrieved, she's a paralegal in our firm and what happened to them until it got -- that is not a factual issue for the jury that has anything to do with the case that the jury would have to be deciding. And so I was wondering whether the Court would like to resolve that issue outside of the jury. She was deposed yesterday. We can hear argument. I'm just concerned --

THE COURT: Sure. What is the plaintiff's

position? Are you arguing this is a fact issue for the jury or it's an issue for me?

MR. OUSSAYEF: Certainly, Your Honor, it's definitely a fact issue for the jury because whether the source code is actually from the date that Groupon says it is a key issue in this case.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Shamilov, on what basis do you assert that it's an issue for me and not for the jury?

MS. SHAMILOV: Here are the two things. The objection that I understood just now that was articulated is whether the source code that Paul Davis is going to be testifying about was dated in 1985. Paul Davis is going to take the stand and say this is the code that I wrote while I was at Amazon. I was employed there at that time. I operated, I know this code, this is the code, that's the date. So that's the fact that the jury needs to hear.

We don't need Ms. Pomeroy to come here and say well. I work at Fenwick & West and I retrieved these CDs from the vault that I had from a previous lawsuit and what happened to them.

THE COURT: If they're going to argue that that's probative of whether or not the files were actually created at the time that Mr. Davis is going to say, why isn't that all just something that the jury has to consider?

1	MS. SHAMILOV: There is absolutely nothing that
2	Ms. Pomeroy can say there that will allow them to do that.
3	The code is dated 1995. Ms. Pomeroy can only talk about the
4	CD's and where they came from, and how they were used in
5	2009. Right? Paul Davis is going to say this is the code
6	that I wrote, this is what ran the website in 1995. Why
7	does the jury
8	THE COURT: I'm still struggling to understand,
9	what rule or authority can you point to that says that's an
10	issue for me and not the jury?
11	MS. SHAMILOV: Because the authentication issues
12	that deal with the chain of custody, that's for the Court to
13	decide, not for the jury.
14	THE COURT: You keep saying that. I don't know
15	that that's true. I would like to understand if it is.
16	MS. SHAMILOV: I can find I will find a cite
17	for you, Your Honor.
18	There is also one more thing with Ms. Pomeroy.
19	We have a lot of stuff going on today. She is a single mom,
20	and she flew across country with her two year old here. She
21	has very limited time and she has to go back home. If we
22	decide to call her today, I ask that we do it out of order.
23	THE COURT: If we're ever calling her, we should
24	call her today is your request?
25	MS. SHAMILOV: That's what I'm asking.

1 THE COURT: Any objection to that? 2 MR. OUSSAYEF: Of course not, Your Honor. 3 MS. SHAMILOV: It may be out of order. THE COURT: You can explain that it's out of 4 5 order to the jury. 6 MS. SHAMILOV: There is one more issue that's 7 important for us to raise before the jury. 8 THE COURT: At this point, the plaintiffs are --9 I'm allowing them to reserve the right to argue that the 10 code is not authentic. And if you want to take a shot at 11 persuading me or showing me the authority that somehow that 12 is an issue for me and not the jury, you'll have to do it 13 before we get to the testimony, otherwise, we're definitely 14 going to get to Ms. Pomeroy and accommodate her schedule and 15 move forward from there. Are there other issues for IBM that could come 16 17 up in your limited time between now and one o'clock? 18 MR. OUSSAYEF: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Ms. Shamilov, you have something? 20 MS. SHAMILOV: Rule 104 that the court must decide any preliminary questions of whether the witness is 21 qualified or evidence is admissible. Authentication 22 23 generally goes to admissibility, Your Honor. That's the 24 rule I can point to.

THE COURT: I heard you say the court might.

25

1 MS. SHAMILOV: Must. 2 THE COURT: Must. 3 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. THE COURT: Under Rule 104 I must decide on 4 5 authentication. 6 MS. SHAMILOV: Right. 7 THE COURT: I'll take a look at that. 8 There was something else, though? 9 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes, Your Honor. I know we have 10 our JMOL, the Rule 50 motions, but there is --11 THE COURT: We will get to that. 12 MS. SHAMILOV: But one of those points it's 13 important for us to discuss with the Court today. So IBM 14 has a claim of willfulness. They did not put any evidence whatsoever in their case in chief on willfulness. They now 15 16 cannot do that by cross-examining our witnesses on it 17 because the jury will absolutely have no way to distinguish between what was presented in their case in chief and what 18 19 was not. 20 Now counsel for IBM in his opening statement 21 said this, "If it was cheap and it was easy to design around 22 these patents, and all of this could have been avoided if 23 the executives at Groupon said to themselves, you know what, 24 it's cheap and it's easy, but we're not going to go to do

Damn the torpedoes, let's charge ahead. Well that is

25

willful infringement.

Setting aside that that is not a definition of willful infringement under any legal standard, this is what they're going to try to do through the cross-examination.

They are going to come back at the closing and see, they all said that and that means they're willfully infringed. They cannot prove willful infringement through cross-examination of our witnesses. It's highly prejudicial. It is improper. They must do it in their case in chief. They haven't.

There is not a single piece of evidence they can point to in their case in chief on that issue.

So I understand that the Court's general practice is to defer decisions on Rule 50(a), and we can do that here, but what I would ask is that the Court instruct that IBM cannot elicit testimony from our witnesses through cross-examination to prove willfulness.

THE COURT: If they survive a Rule 50 motion on willfulness, then they can elicit testimony from your witnesses relating to their case, can't they?

MS. SHAMILOV: They can ask them -- they can cross-examine them within the scope of my direct, they can do that. I'm not going to open the door on anything on willfulness for them to discuss.

We actually proposed in our pretrial order that the scope of cross-examination could be beyond the direct so

the witnesses can be called only once. They opposed. Your Honor went with them and that's what we have. We cannot have crosses to be outside the scope. They actually did that yesterday with our witness --

THE COURT: Well, no. As happened yesterday and will happen throughout the rest of the trial, if there is a dispute over that, I will resolve it. I resolved that nothing was outside the scope of the direct and I'll make those calls going forward if I have.

Going back to my question, and perhaps I have to rule on the Rule 50 motion at this point with respect to willfulness. But if they do survive the motion or more to the point if I don't grant the motion, your motion with respect to willfulness, then of course within the scope of what I allow, that is the scope of the direct, they can elicit testimony and later argue that even though it came out on cross, it's helpful to their case. Right?

MS. SHAMILOV: That's true. But if they do not survive that motion, letting them to do cross-examination is highly prejudicial.

THE COURT: Hold on a second. Go ahead with your motion.

MS. SHAMILOV: Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(a), Groupon moves for judgment as a matter of law of no willful infringement based on IBM's utter failure

to proffer any evidence in support of its claim. This motion rest on two legal principles:

First, the patentee bears the burden of persuasion and must proffer evidence -- as part of its case-in-chief -- showing willful infringement by a preponderance of the evidence. See Norian Corp. v Stryker Corp., 363 F.3rd 1321, 1332, Federal Circuit 2004; and Halo Elecs. v Pulse Elecs, Inc., Superior Court decision 136 S.Ct 1923, 1934 from 2016.

Second, a plaintiff must present evidence on issues on which it has a burden as part of its case-in-chief and may not correct its evidentiary failures by introducing testimony and evidence under the guise of rebuttal or cross-examination. And that is *Emerick v U.S. Suzuki* from the Third Circuit, 750 F.2d 19, 22.

Plaintiff, IBM having now concluded its case-in-chief, did not elicit any testimony or introduce any evidence tending to show that Groupon willfully infringed the patents-in-suit, let alone sufficient evidence on which a reasonable juror could find in its favor on the issue of willfulness. And IBM can not remedy its failure -- and avoid judgment against it as a matter of law -- through cross-examination of Groupon witnesses, when it failed to meet its burden in its case-in-chief. Groupon is entitled to judgment as a matter of law of no willful infringement.

Should the Court decline to grant Groupon
judgment as a matter of law, IBM should be precluded from
eliciting testimony or introducing evidence in support of
its willful infringement claim through cross-examination of
Groupon witnesses. Such cross-examination would be improper
for three reasons. It would be outside the scope of
Groupon's direct examination. It would disrupt Groupon's
presentation of its case-in-chief. And it would unduly risk
confusing the jury and prejudicing them against Groupon and
its witnesses.

The legal standard is that the grant or denial of a motion for judgment as a matter of law is reviewed under the law of the regional circuit of the district court. That's in Calico Brand, Inc. v. Ameritek, a Federal Circuit decision found at 527 Fed App'x 987, 992-993. Under Third Circuit law, a court may render judgement as a matter of law after the moving party is fully heard on the issue at trial if there is no legally sufficiently basis for a reasonable jury to find for the party opposing the motion on that issue. The question is not whether there is literally no evidence supporting the party against whom the motion is directed but whether there is evidence upon which the jury could properly find a verdict for that party.

Now, Section 284 permits the Court to award, in its discretion, enhanced damages to a patent plaintiff upon

the finding of infringement. "A finding of willfulness is a prerequisite to awarding enhanced damages." Enhanced damages are "generally reserved for egregious cases of culpable behavior." "Examples of that egregious behavior include behavior such as willful, wanton, malicious, bad faith, deliberate, consciously wrongful, flagrant or, indeed, characteristic of a pirate." That is from Ansell Healthcare v Reckitt case, which is 15-CV-915-RJA. And can be found at 2018 Westlaw cite 620968 at page 6, which quotes Halo, the Supreme Court decision. And.

"Under Halo -- this is the quote from Halo.

This is the quote from the case that says: "Under Halo,
therefore, before the Court can consider whether to award
enhanced damages, the fact-finder must first determine that
the defendant's behavior was subjectively willful under the
preponderance of the evidence standard. Subject willfulness
is found when the risk of infringement was either known or
so obvious that it should have been known to the accused
infringer."

In its opening statement, IBM promised to the jury, it would meet its burden of establishing willful infringement that it must do in its case-in-chief by saying the following:

If it was cheap and it was easy to design-around these patents and all of this could have been avoided, the

executives of Groupon said that to themselves, you know what? It's cheap and it's easy, but if we're not going to do it, damn the torpedoes, let's charge ahead. Well, that is willful infringement.

Again, counsel's definition of wilful has no legal support whatsoever.

But IBM, having concluded its case-in-chief, did not provide any evidence to support its counsel's bold proclamation. The only evidence IBM submitted to the jury bearing any relevance to the issue of willfulness is in interrogatory response that is read to the jury in which Groupon stated that it became aware of the patents-in-suit via pre-suit "communications with IBM." That is the extent of the evidence that was put in front of the jury in the IBM's case-in-chief.

But "the burden to prove willful infringement includes more than just the mere knowledge of the patent. That is a well-known fact, and it is all over the case law including in the <code>Evonik</code> that I just mentioned. "Willfulness must involve knowledge of the patent and of infringement."

IBM offered no evidence that Groupon had knowledge of any purported infringement in its case-in-chief. And IBM offered no evidence that Groupon acted with the requisite subjective willfulness, i.e., that its behavior was "willful, wanton, malicious, bad faith, deliberate,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consciously wrong, flagrant or ... characteristic of a pirate" in its case-in-chief. And the definition of that, that I just read is directly from the Supreme Court Halo decision.

IBM did not elicit any testimony in support of its willfulness claim as part of its case-in-chief. IBM has provided no legally sufficient evidentiary basis for a reasonable jury to find in its favor. Therefore, Groupon is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

Now, there is a Federal Circuit opinion in Norian v Stryker that is very instructive. And it's at 363 F3d, and the pages relevant pages are 1332 through 33. what happened there is defendant Stryker, just as Groupon here did in this case, stipulated to pre-suit knowledge of the patents. So that was not disputed at trial by the plaintiff Norian. Norian, like IBM here, offered no evidence in support of its willfulness claims as part of its case-in-chief. After Norian concluded its case-in-chief, Stryker moved for judgment of as a matter of law on no willfulness. The Court resolved that motion right there before the defendant's case started, and the Court held that the "initial burden is on patentee to present evidence of willful infringement and must be done in a prima facie case of willful infringement."

And the Federal Circuit affirmed the Rule 50

motion holding that under these circumstances, removal of the question from the jury under Rule 50 was not an error.

Now, to the extent that the Rule 50(a) motion will be deferred, the prejudice to Groupon for eliciting testimony on cross-examination that will go to prove willful infringement is improper for multiple reasons.

I have already described how IBM is planning to handle its cross-examination and when allowing IBM to question Groupon witnesses on alleged willfulness. But IBM offered absolutely no evidence in its case-in-chief with why it would violate the sort of the rigid rule that the cross-examination cannot be beyond the direct.

Second, under the Third Circuit law, evidence which belongs in its case-in-chief must be first introduced in this case -- must be introduced in this case-in-chief and cannot be introduced for the first time in the rebuttal, and such presentation can be rejected because otherwise it would prejudice the jury and confuse the jury and prejudice the defendant. And that is again in the Emerick case they mentioned at page 22 there. Evidence of willfulness clearly belongs, and there cannot be any dispute, evidence of willfulness belongs in IBM's case-in-chief and not as put forth, and allowing IBM now to prove that claim through cross-examination of our witness and through rebuttal is improper in its orderly presentation of proof.

And, third, just permitting IBM to do that would completely confuse the jury. They will have no ability to be able to distinguish of what was proper proof and what was not. It will muddy the lines for them. It will be highly prejudicial to Groupon. And the goal here, right?, very clear from the opening. If IBM counsel thinks if I paint Groupon as a bad guy through cross-examination, then the jury will find willfulness for me. And I will do that by cross-examining every fact witness about you still didn't license us. You didn't change the systems. Everybody else licensed us and you didn't. And because you didn't change the system and it was easy and cheap to do it, you willfully infringe.

That is highly prejudicial. It will just paint

That is highly prejudicial. It will just paint Groupon in a bad light. There is no way to recover from it.

And it is improper, especially here when they did not put any evidence whatsoever in their case-in-chief.

So I ask that the Court grant our motion of judgment as a matter of law of no willfulness and do not allow IBM's counsel to elicit testimony going to the willfulness through the cross-examination of our witnesses.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll hear IBM's response.

MR. DESMARAIS: Thank you, Your Honor. John Desmarais for IBM.

We did put in evidence sufficient to sustain the

Rule 50 standard on willfulness, Your Honor.

First, we put in evidence that IBM put Groupon on notice of the two Filepp patents in 2011. That IMB put Groupon on notice of the Iyengar patent on 2012. And that IBM put Groupon on notice of the Hinton patent in 2014.

We put in evidence from our expert about historically what the Groupon technology was, and he brought it back, from today back through that entire period and showed that Groupon made no relevant changes to their website through the entire period following notice.

We also put in evidence that Groupon did not take a license while others similarly situated with similar websites did. We put in evidence that Groupon has no patent licensing policies whatsoever as a matter of corporate governance.

about being a pirate, et cetera, if you read Halo, in fact she said it in her comments about Halo, that language is tethered to the Supreme Court talking about the Court enhancing damages.

I don't have Halo in front of me, but the quote goes something like: Traditionally in our cases that have enhanced damages, we look for conduct like copying and piracy. That entire section of Halo is dedicated to when a Court should enhance, and by how much they should enhance,

not to the question of, the fact question of willfulness or not willfulness.

Willfulness and enhancement are two separate things. The jury finds willfulness and the Court enhances. Halo was talking about enhancing with that language, not whether there is yes or no on the fact question of willfulness. That issue is decided on a matter of reckless disregard. And the evidence we put in clearly meets a standard of reckless disregard.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Shamilov.

MS. SHAMILOV: Your Honor, the only evidence in the record is when Groupon became aware of the patents. Counsel right now said there was evidence that put Groupon on notice. To the extent this was designed to show that the legal notice, right?, requires I told you about the patents and I told you that you infringe, there is no evidence that was put in front of the jury that IBM told us that we infringe.

Furthermore, evidence that goes that Groupon system infringes, which that is what the expert did, the expert said, yes, Groupon system infringes these claims and it infringed through the entire period. I compared all these versions. That goes to proving and showing infringement. The mere fact of infringement is not willful.

It has to be more than that to prove willfulness. He cannot get up and say I put in my case-in-chief, you know, testimony about how you flipped. Therefore, I put enough for the jury to find that you're willful.

There is absolutely no evidence they put in their case-in-chief that Groupon knew that it infringed and disregarded that knowledge, that it had no evidence or no possibly reasonable reason to think that it did not infringe and that these patents are invalid.

We got up and say we use World Wide Web. We do not use these patents. We have defenses in this lawsuit, right? They never got up and said they knew Groupon infringed. They decided to disregard it. They knew they had no reasonable basis to say they did not infringe. And they had no reasonable basis to say that the patents were invalid. And so, therefore, they're not just infringing but their infringement is willfulness.

It is not enough, the case law is very clear, it is not enough to say they knew of the patent, and it is not enough to say you infringed. You've got to go beyond that to show why that infringement was reckless. Why that infringement was willful. You need facts for that. There is not a single fact that was just read by counsel that they presented that can go to that.

THE COURT: In evaluating whether or not the

jury could reasonably find that there was a risk of infringement that was so obvious that it was known or should have been known, can I consider the testimony about infringement, for instance, from the plaintiff's expert?

MS. SHAMILOV: No, you cannot. The testimony about infringement goes to infringement. To go -- you cannot -- that is not evidence that the jury can --

THE COURT: But I have to decide whether a reasonable juror, taking everything they have heard so far, or through the end of the case-of-chief, in the light most favorable to IBM, could reasonably conclude that there was a risk of infringement so obvious that Groupon even knew or should have known it. In making that assessment, why would I have to take out of my mind and presumably out of the jurors' mind the opinion they heard from a qualified expert about infringement?

MS. SHAMILOV: The standard is not -- the standard, what they said Halo holds that counsel reads, the quote that I'm reading about what willfulness means, that it really must be characteristic of a pirate action, that is the sufficient evidence to prove willfulness, there was nothing like that put in front of the jury. And if you read Halo, that quote is not just about enhancing damages. It is about what type of conduct can allow the Court to consider enhancing damages, i.e., what type of conduct constitutes

willful infringement after which the Court may consider enhancing damages.

Halo defined what constitutes willful infringement. And it is not just, oh, the risk was obvious. They have to elicit testimony about actions, that if you look at them, sound like reckless and actually kind of characteristic of a pirate. That it is wanton, malicious, reckless. There is none of that gets put in front of the jury that was sufficient for them to find, to make that particular finding.

THE COURT: Is there anything else?

MS. SHAMILOV: No, Your Honor.

Ms. Shamilov mentioned, I do usually defer on these motions and I think I could defer her here. And if I were to defer ruling on the motion, I don't agree with Groupon that that would place some extra restriction on the plaintiff's ability to fully and fairly cross-examine Groupon's witnesses or to put on a fair rebuttal. That is, of course IBM would be subject to the ordinary limitations on proper cross-examination and the ordinary limitations on proper rebuttal. But were I to defer on this willfulness motion, I don't think that would pose some extra limitation on the plaintiff as I understand Groupon to be arguing for. I just don't agree with that. I think the burden is on the

defendant in this instance to prove to me that I should grant the motion, and obviously if I grant the motion, that will restrict what the plaintiff can do. But short of granting it, I don't think there is any extra limitation on plaintiff.

That said, I'm ready to rule on the motion and I'm denying the motion. I do think that here, a reasonable juror, taking all of the evidence in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, which is the standard I have to apply, could find all the elements necessary for a finding of willful infringement.

First, they could find that the defendant had knowledge of the patents. That, in fact, is undisputed.

Further, they could find that the defendant acted in a willful, wanton, malicious, or bad faith manner through evidence such as the fact that the defendant could be found that the defendant had knowledge of the patents for up to seven years and made no relevant changes to its product, the accused product, to how the Groupon website and the mobile apps functioned.

I think that a reasonable juror, again under the appropriate standard, could find that the risk of infringement was known to the defendant or was so obvious that it should have been known to the defendant and that therefore the defendant acted recklessly in not making any

relevant changes.

In this regard, I do think it is appropriate for me to consider all of the evidence that came in up to the time that the plaintiff rested their case. So specifically I have considered, I think it is appropriate to consider the expert testimony about infringement.

It seems to me that a reasonable juror, if they accepted all of what the expert said, could make a finding that would be a reasonable one, that this infringement is so obvious that it was known or should have been known to the defendant and that the defendant acted recklessly, et cetera, in not making any changes.

Further, the jury could reasonably consider the licenses, the licenses that others in this space took to the patents-in-suit and could also consider the evidence that the defendant at least at the relevant time did not have a patent license policy.

So at least for all of those reasons under the appropriate standards at this point in the case, I am denying the motion.

To the extent there is an argument that plaintiff's theory of willfulness is not a valid one under the law, I will deal with that by instructing the jury what the legal standard is on the law of willful infringement as well as any other issue that remains in the case at the

time, and you will be giving me your updated proposed instructions on Monday, and we'll have a chance to argue any disputes over those instructions.

I do want to say I have applied the standard the law requires me to apply which has absolutely nothing to do with whether I think there was a risk of infringement that was obvious, has absolutely nothing to do and no one should draw any conclusions about whether I have heard nothing that would make me at all inclined to enhance damages. Those are not issues for today. Those are not issues for the jury.

If we get there, I'll have to deal with them. But what I have had to decide is whether willfulness should go forward in front of the jury, and under the appropriate standards, I have concluded that it should.

Are there any questions about that ruling?

MS. SHAMILOV: No, Your Honor. I just want

to -- I know in the interest of time, we have other motions

but I can reserve bringing them up later, or if you want me,

I can do it now.

THE COURT: It's fine by me to reserve.

MS. SHAMILOV: Okay. Well, no. I mean --

THE COURT: You clearly understand I'm not going to limit their presentation based on a motion you think you might win. So if you are going to ask me to limit their presentation?

1 MS. SHAMILOV: I'm not. All I'm saying is I 2 still need to read stuff in the record to preserve issues 3 for appeal. I can do that now or later. I just want to make sure I'm not waiving. 4 5 THE COURT: Right, you are not waiving. 6 MS. SHAMILOV: Okay. I agree. 7 THE COURT: My preference is we do it later. 8 Again, I don't want you to misunderstand. I'm not going to limit the plaintiff unless, and until, you prevail on these 9 10 motions. 11 MS. SHAMILOV: I was not planning to ask for 12 that at all. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Any questions from IBM about 14 the ruling on the motion? 15 MR. DESMARAIS: No, Your Honor. We do have one last issue of evidence, though, that my colleague Mr. Matty 16 17 would like to say. 18 THE COURT: That is something could come up in 19 the next three hours? 20 MR. MATTY: Yes. It was disclosed as an exhibit 21 with Ms. Pomeroy. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 23 MR. MATTY: Good morning, Brian Matty for IBM. There is an exhibit that was disclosed for Ms. Pomeroy, 24 25 DX-671 that Groupon said they intend to use on her direct

testimony today. Ms. Pomeroy is a paralegal from Fenwick & West. This exhibit is a declaration that she submitted, I think it was signed June 28th and they said that they intend to offer it into evidence today.

We objected based on hearsay. It's being offered for the truth of the matter that's in the declaration. It all relates to the Amazon source code that we have heard about. We expect her to testify about how that code was produced and where it came from, from Fenwick & West's record.

In addition to the hearsay issue with her declaration itself, it attaches several exhibits that have nested hearsay problems. Two of those are declarations from 2009 that were signed by an employee of Amazon, and so those are also being offered as exhibits to this declaration.

They themselves have hearsay. So her declaration is hearsay, the exhibits are nested hearsay and that was the basis of our objection.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SHAMILOV: Your Honor, I just had a chance to confer with my co-counsel. We will not be calling Ms. Pomeroy.

THE COURT: You will not be calling Ms. Pomeroy?

MS. SHAMILOV: Will not be calling Ms. Pomeroy.

25 THE COURT: I take it that wins the objection;

1 right? 2 MR. MATTY: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Anything else that has to be dealt 4 with for anything that's going to happen in the next three 5 hours? MR. MATTY: Not from IBM. 6 7 MR. HADDEN: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: We'll take a short break and then 9 we'll bring the jury in. 10 (A brief recess was taken.) 11 THE COURT: The jury is definitely ready for us. 12 Anything before I bring them in? No issues? 13 MR. DESMARAIS: Before the cross starts, Your 14 Honor, I would like the just offer a couple of exhibits that 15 I used with the witness that I didn't offer. THE COURT: Any objection to that? 16 17 MS. SHAMILOV: I don't know what the exhibit 18 numbers are. 19 MR. DESMARAIS: 60, 62, 63 and 992. 20 MS. SHAMILOV: No objection. THE COURT: I'll call on Mr. Desmarais first and 21 22 then we will do the redirect. 23 MR. DESMARAIS: Also before the jury gets here, on the time this morning, we are watching the time, I think 24 25 a lot of the objections were close calls and the --

1 THE COURT: All that time is charge to IBM. That was the rule here, you raised all of those objections, 2 3 so we don't keep track of who wins and loses. 4 MR. DESMARAIS: Not the Rule 50. 5 THE COURT: The Rule 50 you were charged for 6 when you were speaking and I have charged the time from my 7 ruling to the moving party, in this case Groupon. 8 MR. DESMARAIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 (Jury entering the courtroom at 10:15 a.m.) 10 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen 11 of the jury. Welcome back. Good morning, Mr. Carlisle. 12 We'll talk to you in just a minute. 13 First I want to update the ladies and gentlemen 14 of the jury. I know that it's 10:15. Thank you for being here on time to start at 9 o'clock. I did have some matters 15 16 to discuss with the parties and some other things I had to 17 attend to. I want to assure you that we are on track. 18 know it often probably seems like we are not, but believe it 19 or not, things are going at just the pace I anticipated. 20 And as I told you in the instructions, this is a timed 21 trial, so I know how much time they all have left and I can assure you we are all on track and the commitments I made to 22 23 you about my expectations when we will be done, I stand by 24 all of those. So don't worry, please.

With that, again, good morning, Mr. Carlisle.

	Carlisle - redirect	
1	remind you that you remain under oath.	
2	JASON CARLISLE, having been previously	
3	sworn, was examined and testified further as follows	
4	THE COURT: Good morning to you, Mr. Desmarais.	
5	Pick up where we were.	
6	MR. DESMARAIS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. We	
7	finished the cross yesterday afternoon, but I would like the	
8	offer the exhibits I used which were Plaintiff's Exhibit 60,	
9	62, 63, and 992.	
10	THE COURT: Ms. Shamilov, good morning. Any	
11	objection?	
12	MS. SHAMILOV: No objection, Your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: Those are all admitted. And we'll	
14	pass the witness and have the redirect.	
15	(The above exhibits were admitted.)	
16	MS. SHAMILOV: Thank you, Your Honor.	
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
18	BY MS. SHAMILOV:	
19	Q. Good morning, Mr. Carlisle.	
20	A. Good morning.	
21	Q. Yesterday counsel for IBM was asking you to agree	
22	that because Groupon is promoting product and services on	
23	its website and mobile apps, then those are advertisements.	
24	You wanted to explain to the jury, you disagreed and want to	
25	explain to the jury why you were disagreeing, but you were	

Carlisle - redirect

not given an opportunity to do that. What did you want to explain for the jury?

A. Yeah. I wanted to talk about the difference between promotions and advertisements. It's similar to when you go into a store and you see, you know, a certain dress on a mannequin or a certain things at the end caps of an aisle or certain things at checkout, those are not advertisements. The store is promoting those products. In-house, that's merchandizing. That's what Groupon does on its website when it's merchandizing certain deals.

I work in the marketing department so I buy the ads for Groupon on other sites, I go, you know, buy ads on Facebook or on Google and I have worked in this field for eighteen years, and have two patents on ads myself, so I know the difference between ads, what is an add and what is a merchandizing on site.

- Q. Counsel showed you an image yesterday on the screen of the Groupon's web page where the deal and the trending badge. Is the trending badge advertisement?
- A. No, it's not advertising. It's very similar, imagine you were buying a bottle of Tide detergent, it said new and improved on it. That's not an ad, that's something they put on the packaging to get you to buy it. But it is promotion, so there is a difference.
- Q. And when users click on deals on the web, for

- example, on Groupon's website, are they taken to some other
 website to view those deals?
- A. No, they stay within the Groupon store, Groupon platform.
- 5 Q. Do customers when they click on deals, can they put
 6 them in the cart on Groupon's website and buy them?
- A. They buy them right there, that's the products and services that we sell.
- 9 Q. Counsel also showed you documents yesterday. Do you 10 recall that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. I'm going to -- I'm going to go through some of that
 13 if you don't mind.
- 14 A. Yes. Sure.
- 15 Q. If I could ask you, I think in your binder there
 16 should be a PX-0059.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you remember seeing this, the counsel showing you this yesterday?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, there is a title. Let me see if I can do this right. What does the title say?
- 23 | A. It says login/signup prompts.
- Q. What's the difference between login and signup?
- 25 A. So to login is when you already have an account on a

particular website. Signing up means you're creating your account for the first time.

- Q. And counsel showed you this one line from this document that's highlighted, that he highlighted, right there. Do you remember that?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q. And it says, "Logged in users have a higher purchase rate compared to those who are not logged in (+2X)."

9 Do you remember that?

- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Does that mention signup at all?
- 12 A. No, it does not.
- Q. Can you purchase anything on Groupon's website without being logged in?
- 15 A. No, you have to be logged in to buy something on Groupon.
- 2. So all customers who make purses on Groupon's website are logged in?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- 20 Q. And they create -- they can create their account by
 21 just using Groupon's account creation, Groupon's own account
 22 creation button; is that right?
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24 Q. They do not have to go through Facebook, do they?
- 25 A. They do not.

- 1 \ \Q. Do they have to go through Google, do they?
- 2 A. Nope.
- 3 Q. If I could ask you to turn to PX-0992 in your binder.
- 4 Are you there?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you remember counsel asking you questions about
- 7 | this document?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How long roughly is that document, how many pages?
- 10 A. About eighty pages.
- 11 Q. And counsel asked you about this particular sentence
- out of that eighty pages long document. Do you remember
- 13 | that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And it says, Google login; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What's the difference between Google login and Google
- 18 signup?
- 19 A. So it's the same thing I was describing before where
- 20 | to login is to access an account that you already have
- versus to signup is to set up a new account.
- 22 | Q. I'm sorry.
- 23 A. I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 24 Q. So does this sentence that counsel highlighted
- 25 yesterday say anything about Google signup?

- 1 A. No, it does not.
- Q. Now, counsel also talked about NOB lift of 22.4
- 3 overall. Do you remember that?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And counsel said that NOB is revenue. Do you
- 6 remember that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Was he right?
- 9 A. No, that's incorrect.
- 10 Q. What is NOB?
- 11 A. NOBs are net operational bookings, so that's the
- 12 amount that a customer pays for an item on Groupon. But our
- 13 | revenue is actually, you have to subtract what we pay out to
- 14 the merchants. So, for example, if you buy something on
- 15 Groupon that's \$10, but if we give \$8 back to the merchant,
- 16 our revenue would be \$2, so NOB would be \$10, revenue would
- 17 be two.
- 18 Q. And if I could ask you to turn to PX-0062 in your
- 19 | binder. Are you there?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you remember counsel asking you questions about
- 22 | that document?
- 23 A. 0062.
- 24 Q. **Yes**.
- 25 A. Sorry, I'm in the wrong one. Yes.

- 1 Q. And again, how big is that document?
- 2 A. This one also is about eighty pages.
- 3 \ \Q. Do you recall counsel pointing you to this one single
- 4 sentence from that eighty-page document yesterday?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And this document, this sentence again mentions NOB
- 7 | right there. Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And again, counsel said that NOB in that sentence
- 10 stands for the revenue. Do you recall that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Was he right?
- 13 A. No, it's the nobody.
- 14 Q. Was he wrong for the same reasons that you described
- 15 | for the jury already?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Just to remind them, what's NOB?
- 18 A. It's net operational bookings.
- 19 Q. And do you recall what counsel is discussing this
- 20 sentence with you, he was talking about latency?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 \ \Q. What is latency again, can you remind the jury?
- 23 A. Latency is -- it has to do with page feed and how
- 24 | fast a page loads. If you have high latency it is taking a
- 25 long time to load.

- Q. Do you recall counsel asking you that this sentence means that because Groupon's website and mobile applications cache, that it means that Groupon has good latency on its website, do you recall that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Does this sentence say anything about cache?
- 7 A. No, it does not.
- 8 \ \Q. How does Groupon improve latency on its website?
- 9 A. There are lots of ways that we address high latency
 10 where we will optimize the images that load the page to
 11 reduce the size of those images so they load faster. You
 12 can optimize the code. You can get better servers and have
 13 them serve the web faster. There is lots of things that the
 14 company does to improve latency.
- 15 Q. Does computer speed matter?
- 16 A. Yes.

- Q. Do Groupon engineers improve their systems?
- 18 A. Yes, they're constantly working on them, the technology.
- 20 Q. I want to show you one of the screens I think you
 21 used in your direct, and counsel asked you some questions
 22 about. Do you recall seeing this screen yesterday that you
 23 showed to the jury?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Do you remember counsel asking you questions about

- 1 this screen?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you remember IBM's counsel calling that thing
- 4 | culled out at the top, it's DDX-105, and the blow it up,
- 5 | feature, local, goods, getaways?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 \ Q. Do you remember counsel calling that a command bar?
- 8 A. **Yes**.
- 9 Q. What is a command bar?
- 10 A. They're just the links that are at the top of the
- 11 page. These are the links that are used on the Worldwide
- Web to connect websites within that.
- 13 Q. Is this a command bar on Groupon's website?
- 14 A. No, I would describe them as links.
- 15 Q. Are there other links on this web page?
- 16 A. Yes. Basically anything you click on is a link, and
- 17 there are links all over the web page.
- 18 Q. Are these links any different than the links of any
- other web page out there on the Worldwide Web?
- 20 A. No, any web page has similar links on it, all across
- 21 the web.
- 22 | Q. And does Groupon links on its website because that's
- 23 part of the Worldwide Web?
- 24 A. Yes, that's just how the technology works, yes.
- 25 Q. How about storing information in cache, does Groupon

1 use that as part of the Worldwide Web?

- A. Yes, Groupon does that just like all of their websites do.
- Q. And how does Groupon implement the Google and Facebook sign up?
 - A. So we use the APIs which are -- it's kind of the instructions that Google and Facebook give you to say here is how to implement to signup or sign in on their page and we are just follow the instructions.
 - Q. Counsel asked you about Google, Facebook and Amazon and asked whether they're competitors, and you said they were competitive risks. What is a competitive risk?
 - A. So a competitive risk is someone who is not in your space right now working on delivering the same products, but if they were to get in your space it would be a risk to your business. It's a potential threat versus a competitor which is someone that is actively doing the same thing that you're doing.
 - Q. So Google, Facebook and Amazon are not Groupon's competitors?
- A. They are not competitors, they're more competitive risk.
 - Q. Why did Groupon not license IBM's patents?
- A. Because our technology is on the Worldwide Web and Groupon did not infringe on those patents.

1	MS. SHAMILOV: Thank you.	
2	MR. DESMARAIS: I would object and move to	
3	strike that last answer, Your Honor. He hasn't even read	
4	the patents. It's hearsay. Speculation.	
5	THE COURT: Hold on. Do you object to striking	
6	that last answer?	
7	MS. SHAMILOV: I do. We're here because Groupon	
8	does not believe it infringes.	
9	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the	
10	objection.	
11	Are you done with your examination.	
12	MS. SHAMILOV: I'm done and I ask that	
13	Mr. Carlisle be excused.	
14	THE COURT: Any objection to that?	
15	MR. DESMARAIS: No, Your Honor.	
16	THE COURT: Ms. Shamilov, I can't see what books	
17	are still over there, but if someone from Groupon can come	
18	clear away the stand, that would be good.	
19	MR. HADDEN: May I approach, Your Honor?	
20	THE COURT: Yes.	
21	And you may call your next witness.	
22	MR. HADDEN: Groupon calls its next witness,	
23	Phil Dunham, corporate representative and also senior	
24	engineering manager. He will talk about how Groupon uses	
25	the Worldwide Web and its own server technology.	

1 ... PHILLIP DUNHAM, the witness having been 2 first duly sworn was examined as follows... 3 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Dunham. Welcome to this side of the courtroom. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: You may proceed when you're ready. 6 7 MR. HADDEN: Thank you. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. HADDEN: 10 Good morning, Mr. Dunham. Q. 11 Α. Good morning. 12 Can you introduce yourself to the jury and tell them 0. 13 a little bit about your background. 14 Α. My name is Phil Dunham. I'm senior engineering manager at Groupon. 15 16 And where did you grow up, Mr. Dunham? 17 I grew up in Aurora, Illinois which a suburb of 18 Chicago. 19 Will you tell us a little bit about your background Q. 20 there? 21 Well, my father was a philosophy professor at Aurora 22 University which is a half a block from the house where I 23 grew up. He's also a pastor at a local Baptist church. My mother worked in the registers office at the university and 24 also played the organ at that same church. 25

- 1 | Q. Tell us a little bit about your education?
- A. I have a bachelors in philosophy from the University of Chicago, a masters in philosophy from the University of Washington, and a masters from computer science from the
- 6 Q. How did you get from philosophy to computer science?
 - A. Well, philosophy, it was everywhere in my home. My home was an academic household, so learning was very much encouraged, learning of all kinds. My father would take my brother and I over to the computer lab that the university had. We would program on the Apple II computers that they had there. We also had a Commodore 64 computer, personal
 - Q. What did you do after you got your masters degree?

computer that we used at home that I programmed on as well.

- 15 A. After I got my masters degree I worked at startups in Chicago in the programing. And in 2003 I joined Orbitz.
- 17 Q. Orbitz being the travel website?
 - A. That's correct.

University of Chicago.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 19 Q. What did you do at Orbitz?
- A. I started as a senior software engineer at Orbitz. I
 was there for seven years. At the end of my time there, I
 was director of technology.
- 23 | Q. What did you do after Orbitz?
- A. After Orbitz I worked at a few other companies including some startups. And then in 2013 I joined Amazon

Dunham - direct

in Seattle where I worked on their web services division which is their cloud computing.

- Q. Why did you go from Orbitz ultimately to Amazon?
- A. Well, I joined Orbitz because that was sort of the
 leading technology company in Chicago at the time. They had
 the best engineers. I enjoyed working with smart people.

 We were doing interesting things. Amazon was in my view an
- even better technology company and it was an opportunity
 that I felt I couldn't pass up.
 - Q. How did you get from Amazon to Groupon?
 - A. After spending a couple of years in Seattle I wanted to come back to Chicago to be closer to my family. And coming back to Chicago, Groupon had really supplanted Orbitz as the premier technology company in Chicago, so that's where I went to work.
 - Q. How do you like working at Groupon?
 - A. I love it. The work life balance is a little bit better than at Amazon. There is lots of smart engineers there. We're doing really interesting things. It's a really good place for an engineer to work. You're encouraged to launch the latest cutting edge technology and use it to solve problems. And I like Groupon's mission is connecting consumers with multiple businesses.
 - Q. And what do you do now as senior engineer and manager at Groupon?

A. I manage three engineering teams in the Consumer Web

Platform Group which is part of a larger Consumer Platform

Group.

- Q. When you talk about the Consumer Platform Group, what are consumer platforms at Groupon?
- A. So the Consumer Platform Group, that is the group, engineering group that works on platforms that customers can use to interact at Groupon. So that includes our websites which are available on desktop browsers and it's also includes the teams that work on mobile apps.
- Q. That is what we're seeing on the screen here, just an image from the website; is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.

- 14 Q. And these are the mobile apps?
 - A. Images from our mobile apps, that's right.
- Q. And you talked about the consumer platform team. Is there another platform team at Groupon?
 - A. There is a few other engineering teams at Groupon. There is merchant services team. So here you see on the right, this is web pages that our merchant partners use to interact with us instead of deals that we can sell on our platform. That is one of the other major engineering groups.

There is also the platform services team which is, handles a lot of the backend services that the consumer

- 1 and merchant services team leverage.
- Q. Now, this is a diagram we've seen a few times. What is this diagram from?
 - A. So this is from some internal Groupon documentation.
- 5 Q. And what is the purpose? What is generally depicted
- 6 here?

- A. So this shows Groupon's service oriented

 architecture. And this is demonstrates how a web page from

 Groupon is composed and served to a user who is requesting

 it.
- 11 Q. So let's just kinds of walk through this at a high 12 level and then we'll go into some more detail.
- So this cartoon figure, what is he representing?
- 14 A. That represents a user or customer of Groupon.
- 15 Q. And there is this arrow with, it looks like an URL in
- 16 | it. What does that represent?
- A. So that represents, in this case, this user is making a request for Groupon's home page, the address for Groupon's
- 19 home page is simply ... Groupon.com.
- Q. And this box, Grout, Grouting Service in the middle, what does that do?
- A. So this is part of Groupon's platform. It looks at incoming web requests and looks at the requests and decides which applications of which computers, which one of our programs will handle that request, and it will route that

- 1 request to the appropriate application.
- 2 Q. Okay. And then there is this box, Homepage ITA.
- 3 What does ITA stand for?

context?

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. IFA stand for Interaction Tier Application.
- Q. And we've heard the word "application" a lot in
 various contexts in this case. When you are talking about
 Interaction Tier Application, what is an application in that
 - A. So in this context, when we say "application," it's just a program that runs on one of Groupon's servers.
 - Q. And then leaving the Homepage ITA, there are these various arrows. What do they represent?
 - A. So this represents requests that the Homepage ITA is making to some backend services. In order to put together a web page that the user requests, an ITA will have to make several requests of its own to different backend services to get pieces of data that it can use to compose the web page and return that to the user.
 - Q. Okay. When you talk about backend services, what is that exactly?
 - A. So Groupon's platform is a service oriented architecture where each program takes care of one task or is responsible for one area. So you might have a user service that is just there to provide information about users. User name, user account. You might have a deal service that is

Dunham - direct

there to just return information about deals, or Geo service or Geo location service that is used just to return information about where the user is.

- Q. And what is the benefit to Groupon of breaking their programs up into these services that have specific jobs?
- A. It makes things earlier to manage if one program only does one thing. It's easier to reason about and have the user manage that program and that data.
- Q. And the bottom service here is the layout service. What does the layout service do at Groupon?
- A. So the layout service is used by all the web applications, all the ITAs to make sure that Groupon's web pages all look the same. Almost every web page on Groupon is served by a different ITA. The home page might be served by a Homepage ITA. A deal page would be served by a Dealpage ITA. All of those will request from the layout service basically the header and footer and some other, other code like common JavaScript or style sheets to make sure that every page of it, Groupon has the same header, has the same footer, and looks and feels and behaves in a similar manner.
- Q. Now, we have kind of expanded that diagram to show a little more detail. So let's kind of walk through in this expanded form what happens at Groupon when it receives a request from the user?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dunham - direct

So we have our cartoon guy there, and we have that box that says HTTP request. What does that show? So the HTTP request, that is a request using the Hypertext Transfer Protocol from the customers web browser, so Groupon's website, the server at Groupon's website. that is a request for a web page from Groupon. Okay. And you mentioned Hypertext Transfer Protocol. That's the Tim Berners-Lee invention we heard about earlier in the case? That, yes, that is how every web page is transferred Α. across the World Wide Web. And we showed getting into this Grout box here. Ο. happens there? And where is that Grout box again? So that Grout box, that is part of Groupon's system. Α. So that lives in Groupon's datacenter. It runs on Groupon's server. Okay. And what is the Grout box going to do with this request? So the Grout box is going to look at the request and Α. it's beginning to figure out what kind of page that the user is requesting and looks through the path, which is the part after Groupon.com, to look to see, you know, what application, which ITA should I route this request, which ITA can service this request. So --

So -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

- A. So in this case, you highlighted deals. The
 beginning of the path is deals. That tells the Grout
 service, well, I need to send this request to the Dealpage
 ITA because this request is for a deals page.
 - Q. And just to refresh us on a deal page. So this would be a request when the users like on Local and takes a particular item to look at? Is that the type of request this is?
- 9 **A. Yes.**

5

6

7

8

19

20

- 10 Q. Okay. Or Goods, if they pick on an item they want to see more of, this is the request that will be sent?
- 12 A. If the user clicks out link that they expect to take
 13 them to a page that wants more detail about a deal, that is,
 14 this is the type of request they would use.
- Q. And there is some information in the top here. What is this information?
- A. So that specifies the specific deal that the customer is requesting more information about.
 - Q. Okay. So here it is talking about an orthopedic pet bed. So this is a request for a deal that is a pet bed; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And is there a name for this information up here that is in the URL?
- 25 A. That is a permalink.

Q. Okay. So what happens when the Grout gets this request with this permalink?

- A. So Grout will look at the first part of the path, the deals, and it will send that request to the Dealpage ITA.
- Q. Okay. And, again, refresh us. The Dealpage ITA is the server program at Groupon?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And what does the Dealpage ITA do when it gets the request from Grout?
 - A. So in order to serve this request, it has to make furthers requests of its own to backend services to get the view detail information it needs to produce an HTML panel to send back to the user.
 - Q. So can you just kind of explain what the output would be from the Dealpage ITA? What is its job in this?
 - A. It's job is to produce an HTML document which represents, which will be a web page to send back to the user's browser.
 - Q. And you mentioned it sends requests to these backend services. Can you explain how those requests relate to the requests it received?
 - A. So they're not the same as the requests that the Dealpage ITA receives. They're separate new requests that the Dealpage ITA makes to get specific pieces of information in order to put those, that information into the HTML

1 document, the web page that it will return to the user.

- Q. And can you give us some examples of what these requests will be for in sort of filling out a deal page?
- A. So it might request specific information about that deal from a deals service or an inventory service. It will make a request of the layout service to get the pieces, the top and bottom of the page. It might call the user service if it needs to put, display the user's name, those sorts of things.
- 10 Q. And what happens to that original request that came
 11 from the browser once it gets to the Dealpage ITA?
- A. So it's handled by the Dealpage ITA, so the buck stops at the Dealpage ITA for the user's request.
 - Q. Is that request from the user sent to any of those backend services?
- 16 A. No.

14

15

2

- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. Those are brand new requests.
- 20 And does the information in that request that we saw that identifies the pet bed or the deal user is looking for, did that go to the layout service?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Why not?
- A. The layout service doesn't need to know what deal you are looking at in order to give you the header and the

footer. It's going to give the Dealpage ITA almost the same header and footer for every request.

Q. Now, what does the Dealpage ITA do after it --(Screen flickers.) What happened there?

So what did the backend services do after we get the request from the Dealpage ITA?

- A. Well, they may have to make further requests that would be brand new requests to other backend services or they may pull data from some data store or database.
- Whatever they need to do to put together the information to return back to the deal page.
 - Q. And once the deal page gets that information, what does it do?
 - A. So once the Dealpage ITA gets that information, it now has all the data it needs to put together a full HTML document that will represent the web page.
- 17 \ Q. Now, what does this show?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

- A. So this is a mustache template which is, represents some code that has sort of slots, variables, places that could be filled in with data, specific data that can be used to produce a portion of an HTML document.
- 22 Q. And who fills in the pieces in the mustache template?
 - A. So that would be the Dealpage ITA.
- Q. Now, what do these curly brackets, are they on this mustache template?

A. So this is where the mustache word, name comes from. So these curly braces identify a spot that has a variable that needs, this is sort of an open slot that needs to be filled in with specific data.

Q. Okay. So if I understand right, the Dealpage ITA would have this mustache template and get information to fill in the open spots from those backend services?

A. That's right. So this mustache template is part of the Dealpage ITA. And it uses it for -- in this case, it uses it for deals that have multiple options. So any deal that has multiple options, the Dealpage ITA would use this template and use data that it received from a backend service about a specific deal and fill in some of these placeholders with information about that specific deal.

- Q. Okay. We have blown out one of the placeholders here.
 What does this show?
- A. So this is the variable for a buy URL.

So this is just the name of the variable, and this is where a URL, where we would put a URL that we would receive from a backend service that is supplied, the link to go to to purchase it.

- Q. So this is the link that would be underneath effectively the buy button on the detail page of the deal?
- 24 A. That's right.

25 Q. Okay. And this particular mustache template that has

1 | the buy button, where does that mustache template come from?

- A. So this particular mustache template lives in the Dealpage ITA, part of the code based on the Dealpage ITA.
- Q. And how do you know that?
- A. Well, I can see that from the path up there. You

 see sort of SC/ -- for source code -- deal/deal/modules. So

 the first part tells me that this is from the code base, the

 code repository for the Dealpage ITA.
 - Q. And does this mustache template come from the layout service?
- 11 A. No, it does not.

2

3

4

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

- 12 Q. Does the layout service provide any mustache templates that have buy buttons?
- 14 A. No, it does not.
- 15 Q. And how does this placeholder for the buy URL replace
 16 with the real link?
 - A. So, this real link would come back from deal service or an inventory service, some other backend service that would come to -- that data would get to the Dealpage ITA, and the Dealpage ITA would process the mustache template and just replace this placeholder variable with the buy URL from the backend service.
- Q. Remind us again, who puts this URL into the right place in the mustache template?
- 25 A. The Dealpage ITA does that.

Q. And does the Dealpage ITA look for links in this template before it does that?

- A. So in order to put in, populate the data in these variables, it just looks for the curly braces. It doesn't look for anything else.
- Q. And does the Dealpage ITA change or modify this link at any point?
- 8 A. No, it does not.
- 9 Q. Now, we have seen some slides of some of your
 10 testimony where you were asked: "And how can you identify
 11 that lines 7 and 8 correspond to hyperlink?" Do you see
 12 that? And you refer to the href letters.
- 13 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 14 Q. What were you testifying about here?
- 15 A. So I was asked how do I identify which lines in this
 16 file correspond to a hyperlink?
 - So I, as a human being reading this document, that is how I would identify. That is how I would find something that represents a link.
 - Q. Okay. But how does the Dealpage ITA identify or does it identify links in this document?
 - A. The Dealpage ITA just looks for those curly braces and looks for a data that matches that variable and does a substitution. It doesn't look for specific links.
- 25 \parallel Q. So is there any code in the Dealpage ITA that looks

- 1 for these hrefs?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Now, I think we saw this diagram, too. And it shows a mustache template coming back. Do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. So I think you testified that mustache template with the buy buttons already are in this guy in the middle. It's the Dealpage ITA; right?
 - A. Correct.

9

13

14

15

- Q. So why does Groupon have a document that shows a mustache template coming from the layout service the other direction to the Dealpage and Homepage ITA?
 - A. Well, the layout service doesn't provide the mustache templates to the Homepage IFA or the Dealpage ITA but the Dealpage ITA would combine those with templates, its own templates to produce the full HTML document.
- Q. And, again, do any of these templates from the layout service include a buy button?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Does that layout service ever get the information about what the product is that the user is requesting?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. This is a kind of fuzzy, hard to read document so we won't spend much time on it.
- 25 How does this document -- and what is this

1	document	actually?
---	----------	-----------

- A. So this is from, it's an internal Groupon

 documentation. This is actually something that we use in a

 document that we give to new engineers that we hired to get

 them up to speed on Groupon's system and our software

 architecture and how it is organized.
 - Q. So what do these, all these boxes represent generally?
 - A. So these are all services. Groupon's architecture not only has service oriented architecture but it's a tiered architecture. So there are, all of these services or programs are grouped into layers that sort of perform similar functions.
 - Q. So just to orient the jury a little bit. The other diagram we had had sort of the user over here and the request going I guess left to right?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. If we're going to try to put this as a similar representation, where would the user's request be coming into this?
 - A. That would be coming from the top to the bottom. So at the top here, you see the user experience layer, that includes the user's browser. Here is the highlight of this.
 - So we have blown up the top two layers there.

 On the top is the user experience layer and above that line,

those are programs that are in the control of the user.

They're the user's web browser or some program running on

Right below that is what we will call the front end layer, and this is where the ITAs or Interaction Tier Applications, that's where they are.

- Q. So the line in the middle here, that is the line between Groupon and the outside world?
- A. That's right. Below that black line, the front end layer and below is represents programs running within Groupon's servers.
 - Q. Okay. Let me see if we can -- okay. And then we have another layer underneath the front end layer that says data aggregation layer. What does that do?
 - A. So this is sort of the front door for the web application, the ITA to talk to the various backend services. So this data aggregation layer may perform some functions like security and other functions and also may like take data from the various backend services and combine them to get back to the frontend tier.
 - Q. And again the frontend tier here, that is why the Dealpage ITA would be?
- A. That's right.

the user's phone.

Q. And below that, there is some other layers, it looks
like. What does the core layer do?

A. So the core layer has various functions required for managing users across the website. You can see, it's a little hard to read, but you can see some examples here:

The user service, the Geo service which provides the location information, and the shopping cart service, manages the items that are in the user's shopping carts and keeps track of that.

Q. What does the supply layer do?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. So the supply layer provides information about the different deals that Groupon offers. And the deals are in different categories, as I think we discussed. There are local deals, goods deals, getaways which are travel deals, and each one of these different types of deals are handled by a specific backend service that handles that type of deal.
 - Q. Is this the page that would be returned to the user if we went through the process we did with the initial request for the dog bed?
- 18 A. Yes, that's right.
- 19 Q. And does that page contain div tags.
- A. It contains dozen of div tags just like almost every page on the Worldwide Web.
- 22 \ \Q. Does that page contain a global container div tag?
- A. Every page on Groupon contains, has a global container div tag on it.
- 25 Q. Did the global container div tag have any effect on

- 1 how that page looks on the user's screen?
- 2 A. On its own, no.
- Q. And does the global container div tag have any effect on where that page is presented the user screen?
- 5 A. No, it does not.
- Q. Does the global container div tag have any effect on the area on the screen in which that's presented?
- 8 A. No, it does not.
- 9 Q. Does the global container div tag have any effect on the size of the page on the user screen?
- 11 A. No, it does not.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q. And I think you said by itself it doesn't affect web
 13 page. What causes a div tag to have an effect on a web
 14 page?
 - A. A div tag on its own is just a marker around a certain portion of the HTML document. On its own it doesn't format or do anything to it. In order to have an effect, some other code has to run that refers to that div tag and that code would apply some towards styling or formatting to the portion of the HTML document within that div tag. That other code would be in a style sheet or possibly some Java script code that's running on the client's browser.
 - Q. If the global container doesn't have any effect on how this page looks to a user on a browser, why does Groupon put global container tags in all their web pages?

- 1 A. We do it to handle one specific situation.
- Q. What is that situation?
- A. We want users to visit our website, we want to

 encourage them to sign up to our emails and we keep track of

 -- we keep track through cookies, which I think we'll talk

 about in a bit, whether or not that user has seen a prompt

 to sign up to our email service.

If they haven't seen that prompt yet, we want to display that to them and in order to display that, we'll put the big prompt in the middle of their -- of the page, and we want to dim the rest of the page. So that's actually what we use the global div container to do. We apply in this situation, we apply a style to that div tag, which dims everything within that div tag.

- Q. So this is what the user would see on their browser when that program runs in reference to the div tag?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. Just to be clear, does the div tag cause this signup circle to show?
 - A. No. It just dims the page behind it.
- Q. And is there code that refers to the div tag, to global container div tag that causes that dimming?
- 23 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 24 Q. Is that this code here?
- 25 A. That's right, yes.

- Q. So the only purpose for Groupon to put these global containers in all their pages is to be able to dim it like
- 3 this in certain situations?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. Now, after we've decided to buy our fine dog a fine
- 6 bed, we get to this page; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And there is this button, proceed to checkout. Is
- 9 | there a URL or link associated with that button?
- 10 A. Yes, there is.
- 11 Q. Is this it?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Is there anything in that link that identifies that
- 14 | fine dog bed we're buying?
- 15 A. No, it just is a link to the checkout process.
- Q. So how does Groupon track and know that I'm buying
- 17 | that dog bed and not something else?
- 18 A. We use cookies to do that.
- 19 Q. So can you explain how Groupon uses cookies to track
- 20 what users are buying?
- 21 A. Sure. So whenever a browser makes a request to a
- 22 website, the website can add something to their response.
- 23 Here we see a request they made to Groupon's website. The
- website can send a cookie to the response. And what this
- does is it brings the cookies to the user's browser.

Dunham - direct

What is a cookie? A cookie is just a little piece of information that gets stored in the user's browser. It's just, it has the domain of the website that sent the cookie, and, you know, the name of the -- the information and then the actual information.

So in this situation, I think the name is session ID and we have just a number that's attached to that. So whenever that browser makes another request to that same website, it will send back the cookies along with the HTTP request.

- Q. How do Groupon servers then use that cookie to know that it's me buying this particular dog bed?
- A. So Groupon uses a few different cookies to track users. We use a cookie, we send a cookie to track a user's browser, so anyone using that browser that will send that cookie and we'll know that that's the same browser. If a customer has ever logged in, then we set a customer ID cookie so we know when that browser sends a request, it will send back the customer ID for that cookie. We'll know this is that customer. This is an example of that here I believe where we -- in this example we get back a session ID that has a specific number, we can match that number to a specific customer, and that's how we can track this particular customer has a dog bed in their shopping cart.
- Q. And just to be clear, the Groupon cookies are a

- 1 | little more complicated than this, I take it?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. This is just conceptionally how it works?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. Now, what happens if a user disables their cookies?
- 6 A. We use cookies to track whether customers are logged
- on. And you have to be logged on to make a purchase on
- 8 Groupon. So customers, any user of a browser can disable
- 9 cookies for one or more websites. If we do that, we won't
- 10 | be able to keep track of who the customer is or what they
- 11 have in their cart. In fact, in a lot of situations you
- will get an error page similar to this one.
- 13 Q. If a user disabled their cookies and they go to
- 14 Groupon and try to buy something, they'll see a page like
- 15 this?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 \ Q. Now, this is back to the detail page on the dog bed.
- 18 And there is a lot of text here. Does this text ever get
- 19 cached by user's browsers?
- 20 A. No. Whenever we send an HTML document, a web page
- 21 back to a user's browser, we indicate that the page itself
- should not be cached, so none of the actual text on the HTML
- 23 page is ever cached.
- 25 A. When we generate HTML, when we generate web pages

with it, we do it dynamically, we want to present relevant up-to-date information to all of our customers, all of our pages, that's why we direct browsers not to cache the HTML.

- Q. Are the global div tags and the other div tags in the HTML part of the information that's not cached?
- A. They're part of the HTML, so they would not be cached.
 - Q. Some of these images I just picked, the dog, is that something a user could cache if they enable caching?
 - A. When we send back a response with an image, a cache control header to indicate to the browser whether or not they could cache it. And we would indicate foremost images, we would indicate that those can be cached by the browser.
 - Q. Why does Groupon set the cache control headers on images to allow browsers to cache them?
 - A. That's an industry best practice that's followed by almost every website on the internet.
 - Q. When you say industry best practice, can you elaborate a little more on that?
 - A. Using the HTTP protocols and there are certain best practices for improving speed and efficiency on the web that every website uses.
- Q. That's something that's sort of encouraged by the web community?
- 25 A. That's right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. We talked primarily so far about website. We're back to our fuzzy diagram. Are there components of this that are also used by the mobile application?

A. Yes. All the back-end services that the website uses are also used by the mobile apps. The mobile apps won't talk to the interactions here which is where the websites live, they'll go to directly to the data aggregation layer to get information.

- Q. Once we're past the data aggregation layer, the rest of these services act similarly on the mobile apps?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. This is just a screen shot from the mobile app. And it looks like you can scroll forever.
- A. This is the feature on our mobile apps that we call infinite scroll.
- 16 Q. So you can scroll forever?
- 17 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

- Q. And does Groupon set a predetermined number of deals to send to mobile app?
 - A. As long as the customer keeps scrolling, the mobile app will request more deals and the group will send more deals to the app.
- 23 \ \Q. There is no predetermined number of deals?
- 24 A. There is not.
- 25 Q. If a user is on Groupon's website and is browsing,

- does Groupon set a predetermined number of images that that user's browser could cache?
 - A. No.

- 4 MR. HADDEN: No further questions. Thank you,
- 5 Mr. Dunham.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination.
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. OUSSAYEF:
- 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. Dunham.
- 10 A. Good morning.
- 11 Q. You talked a lot about cookies in your direct
- 12 | examination; is that right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you did not talk about the pledge ID parameter,
- 15 did you?
- 16 A. I did not.
- Q. And the pledge ID is a variable that specifies a specific option for a deal; correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And you would agree that if Groupon's pledge IDs
- 21 suddenly stopped work, Groupon's website would not function
- 22 properly; correct?
- 23 \parallel A. It's difficult to imagine that scenario. I suppose
- so, yes.
- 25 Q. I'm sure you would agree that the pledge ID performs

an important function because it specifies which option for a particular deal that the user is interested in purchasing; correct?

- A. It specifies which option for a deal to be returned for a specific web request, yes.
- Q. Right. The pledge ID keeps track of what the user has selected for a particular deal; correct?
- A. If the user selects a specific option, the pledge ID will be part of the request that's sent to Groupon.
- 10 Q. Well, let me just ask the question again. The pledge
 11 ID keeps track of what the user has selected for a
 12 particular deal; correct?
 - A. It's used by the user to select a particular deal, a particular option for a deal.
 - Q. Right. Exactly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And you were in the courtroom when Dr. Schmidt explained his expert opinion that Groupon uses pledge ID to maintain state information; correct?

- A. I was here, yes.
- Q. And despite all your testimony about cookies, you would agree that pledge ID is not tracked using cookies?
- 22 A. I agree.
- 23 Q. Now, in your presentation, you showed cookies going
 24 back and forth between a website and a browser with a
 25 desktop version of the website and Groupon servers; is that

- 1 | right?
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. You weren't showing the mobile applications use of
- 4 cookies; right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. You didn't have any testimony about the mobile
- 7 applications using cookies in your direct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Let me show you an animation that you were shown on
- 10 your direct here. So let's play this animation. Now, you
- showed Groupon scrolling through several deals here, the
- user would be scrolling through several deals; is that
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 \ Q. How many screens did the user scroll through here?
- 16 A. Well, I mean, it's the same screen that the user is
- seeing. They're seeing multiple deals.
- 18 Q. How many screens of display is the user seeing as the
- 19 user goes from one deal to the next?
- 20 A. There is one screen on the phone. They're just
- 21 seeing multiple deals as you scroll up.
- 22 | Q. I'm not asking about the screen, I'm asking about how
- 23 many screens of display are scrolling up and down?
- 24 A. I didn't count the animation. I don't know.
- 25 Q. More than one?

- 1 A. More than one.
- 2 \ Q. More than one screen of display?
- A. More than one screen full of deals.
- 4 Q. Right.
- Now, what is the size of the deals that are scrolling up and down that we just saw?
- 7 A. Size by what measure? I'm not sure I know.
- 8 Q. So you don't understand what I mean by size, but you
- still know that there is multiple pages of display scrolling
- 10 by; right?
- 11 A. I don't know what the size is of that screen.
- 12 Q. It's a little bit ambiguous, the question about size
- 13 without any context, isn't it?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now, you talked a lot about the deals page, too;
- 16 right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. In fact, you're not the right person to talk about
- 19 the deals page, are you?
- 20 A. Well, I know about the deal page, I work with the
- 21 deal page team. I don't manage the deals page team.
- 22 | Q. You don't know the details of the deals page;
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. I worked with engineers on the deals page team and I
- 25 talk to them. I have not worked on the code myself.

- Q. And it's fair to say that you do not know the details of the deals page; true?
- A. There are details about the deals page that I do not know, that's true.
- Q. In fact, you could say that you do not know the details of the deals page; right?
- A. There are details on the -- about the deals page that

 I do not know, that's true.
- 9 Q. I'm saying it in a slightly different way and I just
 10 want to make sure because we had a deposition here. You
 11 don't know the details of the deals page; correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And, in fact, the deals page is managed by another team, not your team, right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. It's managed by the deals team; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And the person who heads up the deal page at Groupon is Varun Sood; right?
- A. He did, it's one of his -- he's been promoted since he exclusively managed the deals team.
- Q. At time of your deposition he was manager of the deals team?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And we saw his testimony yesterday; right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. And Groupon decided not to bring him to trial here;
- 3 | right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 \ Q. Now, let me show you Exhibit 5 from your deposition.
- 6 This is -- fair to say this is a picture of Groupon's
- 7 website?
- 8 A. Yes, this is Groupon's home page at one time.
- 9 Q. And for the record, I'll say that this is Trial
- 10 Exhibit PX-103. Now, Groupon delivers HTML which tells the
- 11 browser to render the page; right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And it's fair to say Groupon decides what content is
- 14 served from www.Groupon.com?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 \ \Q. Groupon decides what images the user sees when they
- 17 | visit Groupon's website?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And Groupon sends several types of files to the user
- 20 browser to display the website; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. In particular Groupon sends HTML files, Java script
- 23 | files, image files, et cetera; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And Groupon's website is structured to allow the user

- to move from one area of the website to another by clicking on links in the header; right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And the header just so we're all on the same page is the top part here with the Groupon logo and the links for
- 6 local goods, getaways, et cetera?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now, the user can click on, for example, the goods 9 link on the Groupon header to go to the goods part of 10 Groupon's website; right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And goods, local, getaways, coupon, they all correspond to Groupon channels; right?
- 14 A. Yes. They're different types of inventory at 15 Groupon.
- Q. And goods, local, getaways, and coupons, each of those are a discrete category of deals that Groupon offers; right?
- 19 A. **Yes**.
- 20 Q. And the sequence of pages that the user goes through
 21 to purchase goods or services are different for the
 22 different channels. Fair?
- 23 A. They can be.
- 24 Q. In fact, they are; right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And that's because if you're going to the getaways
 part of the page, you're going to have different options
 you're going to have to pick like flights and that kind of
 thing?
- 5 A. That's right.
 - Q. And that would be different from the goods part?
- 7 A. That's right.
- Q. And it's accurate to say that each channel has unique requirements; right?
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. Now, let's see. If we look at what we see here, I'm
 12 going to show you a different part. Where you see things
 13 like there is something here we can see called urgency
 14 messaging; right? Let me zoom in a little bit. What we see
 15 here sale ends 8/5, that's urgency messaging; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And Groupon has found that urgency messaging stimulates or increases Groupon's revenue; is that right?
- 19 A. I believe it does increase conversion, yes.
- 20 Q. And conversion means people buying deals?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 \ Q. And that increases money for Groupon; right?
- 23 A. I hope so.
- Q. What's that?
- 25 A. I hope so.

Q. Okay. So let's look at the -- let's look at a different part of this page here. Do you see there is a Super Mega Sale ad there?

- A. I wouldn't call it an ad, I would say banner, a Super Summer Mega Sale.
- Q. Okay. Well, it's fair too say that Groupon says the cache control header for this Super Mega Sale image; right?
- 8 A. **Yes.**

4

5

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And this is trying to induce people to enjoy the summer with up to 80 percent off; right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And just to be clear, it's Groupon that sets the cache control header for that; right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And now, you would agree that the only factor
 16 that determines whether the Super Mega Sale image here is
 17 cached at the user device is the caching instructions set by
 18 Groupon, true?
 - A. Well, the browser -- the user has to enable cache on their browser as well.
 - Q. What I'm asking you, though, is you would agree that the only factor that determines whether the Super Mega Sale advertisement here is cached at the user's device is the cache control header set by Groupon?
- A. Well, the user would have to have caching enabled on

1 their browser as well. 2 All right. Let's see your deposition at 68, 15 3 through 20. 4 "Question: What factors --5 MR. OUSSAYEF: Go ahead. 6 "Question: What factors determine whether the 7 Super Mega Sale advertisement hereby is cached at the user's 8 device? 9 "Ouestion: What factors determine whether the 10 Super Mega Sale advertisement here is cached at the user's device? 11 12 MR. OUSSAYEF: That's okay. We can take a look. 13 "Question: What factors --14 THE COURT: Can we stop this? 15 MR. OUSSAYEF: We can stop. We'll take a look 16 at the deposition transcript. That will be easier. 17 THE WITNESS: That's fine. BY MR. OUSSAYEF: 18 19 Let's take a look here. You were asked: "What 20 factors determine whether the Super Mega Sale advertisement here is cached at the user's device?" As we heard several 21 22 times. 23 And you responded: "What factors? I think the 24 caching instructions that are delivered in the headers in 25 the HTTP response."

Dunham - cross 1 You were asked that question and you gave that 2 answer; right? 3 Yes. Α. 4 And then you were asked: "Any other factors that 5 you're aware of? 6 And you said: "No." Right. 7 Α. That's right. 8 MR. HADDEN: Objection, Your Honor. He is no 9 longer impeaching. He is just reading his deposition. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. BY MR. OUSSAYEF: 11 12 And that's because the cache control header is an instruction served with HTTP content that tells the browser 13 whether to cache content, right? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 So let me see if we can pull up PX-120, which was 17 Exhibit 28 at your deposition. 18 So this is a document. I think we might have 19 seen this earlier today. Do you remember this document, 20 Latency Why Should We Care? 21 Α. I think it was yesterday, but yes. 22 Okay. And it was authored by Clive Beavis, is that Q. 23 right?

And he is one of Groupon's engineering management

24

25

Α.

That's right.

- 1 team, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Then let's go to the third paragraph from the bottom.
- 4 Mr. Beavis says there are plenty of studies
- 5 available that demonstrate a clear correlation between page
- 6 performance and RPV. Right?
- 7 A. That is what this document says.
- 8 \ Q. And you would agree with that statement, wouldn't
- 9 **you?**
- 10 A. There are studies available, yes.
- 11 Q. And RPV. That means revenue; right? Revenue per
- 12 view? Or revenue per visit?
- 13 A. I believe it means revenue per visit.
- 14 \parallel Q. Right. But regardless what it means, it means that
- 15 | latency has a negative impact on revenue; right?
- 16 A. That's what that sentence says.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . Right. And you would agree that there is plenty of
- 18 studies that show that correlation; right?
- 19 A. Groupon hasn't done any of those studies but those
- 20 studies exist.
- 21 Q. Right. Now, let's look at the bottom paragraph of
- 22 | this.
- I think we might have seen this yesterday, too.
- 24 It talks about a study that shows that slow page speed
- resulted in even more significant customer impacts than

immediate RPV: Perceived lack of quality, anger and frustration with the vendor. It's not just a missed sales opportunity, it is a negative consume impact we risk.

That is what Clive Beavis is writing about; right?

- A. That is what he wrote in this document, yes.
- 7 \ Q. And you would agree with that, true?
- A. There are -- Groupon has not done any of those

 studies, so it hasn't been established at Groupon's website

 or within Groupon, but there are studies that other

 companies have done that show that, that I have seen.
- 12 Q. The time it takes for the user to receive -- well,
 13 let's take a look at page 2 of this document. And towards
 14 the bottom, there is a metric called Time to First Byte.
 15 Right?
- 16 A. Yes.

17

18

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

- Q. And that is the time it takes for the user to receive the first byte of data they're interested in; right?
- 19 A. **Yes**.
- Q. And what Mr. Beavis is saying is that it's important for the time to first byte to be low; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you understand that when the user has the data already accessible because the data has been cached, the time to first byte is very low, in fact is approximately

1 zero; right?

A. I don't think that is true in Groupon's case. The time to first byte in Groupon's case would be the time to the first byte of the HTML document which refers to anything else, any other images. Some of those images may be cached on the browser but they won't be displayed until the HTML document itself has been downloaded to the user's browser. So the time to first byte is really the relevant to the HTML document itself.

Q. Okay. Let's see what you say in your deposition.

Could you please play 173, 18 to 22.

"Question: And is it fair to say that for a user that has the data already accessible in the user's cache, the time to first byte is very low, approaching zero?

"Answer: Yes, I think that's fair."

- Q. You were asked that question, and you gave that answer; right?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Groupon considers the performance of its website to be very important; right?
- 21 A. We do.
- Q. And in fact, performance is a mantra for Groupon;
 right?
- \parallel A. We have latency goals that we try to hit, yes.
- Q. And one way to improve latency is at the user's

- 1 device, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you talked a little bit about Groupon's service
- 4 oriented architecture; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you would agree there might be multiple service
- 7 requests that are made in order to display just one HTML
- 8 page; right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And what that means is when a user requests a Groupon
- web page, there might be several subsidiary requests for
- 12 portion of that web page; right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. That is what a service oriented architecture means;
- 15 | right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Let me show you page 3. Now, this is another
- 18 page from Groupon's website; right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 \ \Q. And this page is generated by a template; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 \ Q. Or it's generated by multiple templates; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And, specifically, it's generated by mustache
- 25 | templates; is that right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. And you would agree that a mustache template is a skeleton for an HTML document; right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Let me show you one of these mustache templates.

So this is a mustache template. This isn't the one you showed us, but it is another mustache template that Groupon uses; right?

- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. This is the single option.html.mustache template;
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Now, what we see here in PX-1224 is responsible for displaying the section of the web page that has the buy
- 15 | button in it; right?
- 16 A. For a single option deal, yes.
- 17 Q. Right. So the buy button here?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, this document is one of the outputs from
- Groupon's backend services that are combined with other
- components to send a web page to the user; right?
- A. Well, this, this document is part of the deal page application.
- Q. And it's part of what is output to display a page to a user; right?

- 1 A. It's used to produce output to display to a user.
- 2 Q. Right. It is used to produce output that is sent to
- 3 the user; right?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. Okay. And this is responsible for creating the URL
- 6 and the hyperlink associated with the buy button we were
- 7 looking at before; right?
- 8 A. That is part of the output of this.
- 9 Q. Right. It does other things but it also does the buy
- 10 | button URL; right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And we can see that in lines 7 and 8 here;
- 13 right? Where it says href equals?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \ Q. Okay. And there are no other -- so this is a
- 16 hyperlink, what we're seeing here; right?
- 17 A. It will generate a hyperlink.
- 18 Q. In fact, you can identify what is here as a hyperlink
- 19 by the href letters; right?
- 20 A. So every hyperlink on the web has, is represented by
- 21 a tag that has an href attribute.
- 22 | Q. Right. So what I'm asking, though, you can recognize
- 23 | what is on line 8 here as a hyperlink by the fact it has
- 24 href; right?
- 25 A. I recognize that, yes.

- Q. And there are no other hyperlinks on this page other than what is on line 8; right?
 - A. Let me look through.
- 4 That's right.
- 5 Q. That's right? Okay.
- 6 A. I only see the one.
- Q. Okay. And the URL portion of this, it's towards the end of the highlighting, is a placeholder that would be
- 9 filled in by Groupon servers; right?
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. Okay. And Groupon source code will fill in the
 12 placeholder when it comes time using backend API services;
- 13 right?
- A. Backend API services to get the data to put into that placeholder.
- 16 Q. And then you put in the URL there; right?
- 17 A. That is how the URL gets populated there.
- Q. Right. So the URL gets populated, instead of saying href equals curly brace curly brace URL, it will say href
- equals and then the actual URL; right?
- 21 A. Yes, that would be the output.
- 22 | Q. And it would be modified to do that; right?
- A. Well, this, it would be produced. So the URL is not modified. It's produced by a backend service and then put in this placeholder to produce the HTML document.

- Q. Right. But what is on line 8 will be modified
 because it's not just the URL there, it's href equals quote,
 and then the URL there; right?
- A. I mean this document, this text won't be modified.

 It will be used to produce a new HTML document.
- Q. Right. And that new HTML document will not say href equals curly brace curly brace URL. Instead, it will be modified, it will say href equals and the actual URL; right?
 - A. Well, it will be produced. So there is nothing to modify before it is produced, so it will produce a document that has an href equals and then an actual URL.
- Q. And that is different than what we see here on line 8; right?
 - A. So the document that is produced will be different than this text.
- Q. Right. So you have a different document afterwards, with a different --
- 18 A. You have a new document.

9

10

11

14

- Q. Right. And it will have a different href, right? It will have a different href value?
- A. It will have a href value that won't be a placeholder. It will be filled in with data.
- Q. Right. So now if we look at this URL parameter, or this URL -- sorry. Let me start over here.
- 25 What is filled in in this URL will include the

- deal ID parameter, if necessary; right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. It will include the pledge ID parameter, if
- 4 necessary; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And as we discussed before, the pledge ID
- 7 parameter specifies a particular deal, a particular option
- 8 for a deal; right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Let's talk a little bit about the login process for
- 11 Groupon. If the user tries to purchase a deal but they're
- 12 not logged in, that triggers a login process; right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 \ Q. And Groupon designed its website so that users have
- 15 to be logged in to purchase deals; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. That was Groupon's decision?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Groupon requires users to log in to purchase
- 20 deals because it needs to know certain information about
- 21 users in order to provide the service or item they're
- 22 purchasing; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And Groupon also requires users to be logged in to
- access the My Profile and My Groupon page; right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 \ Q. And one of Groupon's goals is to lock down secure
- 3 areas of the site to avoid fraud and unintentional access;
- 4 | right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Now, you know that Groupon prompts the user to log in
- 7 with a dialog box?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. When they're buying a deal, for example?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 \ \Q. And it's fair to say that Groupon's use of Google and
- 12 | Facebook credentials is a way of authenticating the user?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 \mathbb{Q} . One of the steps that occurs when Groupon uses Google
- 15 credentials is that it receives a token from Google; right?
- 16 A. I believe so.
- 17 Q. Right. And if the token it receives from Google,
- 18 once it is decrypted, does not have the user information
- 19 that Groupon needs, then in that case, the Groupon user
- 20 service needs to make an API call to Google; right?
- 21 A. I'm not 100 percent sure about that, but I don't have
- 22 any reason to disagree.
- 24 deposition; right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And Groupon determines whether the token has
 the user information that Groupon needs by decrypting the
 token using Google's public key; right?
- A. I don't know if that is in my deposition testimony,
 but I can't speak to it right now.
- Q. Okay. But that is your memory of what happens;
 right?
- 8 A. That is my memory of my testimony, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And just to be clear, before you testified at
 10 your deposition, you went and investigated how Groupon uses
 11 Google credentials to log in; right?
- 12 A. I did talk to some people to learn more about it, yes.
- Q. Right. And you were Groupon's corporate
- representative on the login process; right?
- 15 A. I was Groupon's corporate representative for that deposition, yes.
- Q. Right. Okay. Then after the deposition, you had the opportunity to edit your transcript if you wanted to; right?
- 19 A. I believe so.
- 20 Q. And you took that opportunity; right?
- 21 A. I don't believe I submitted any edits.
- Q. Why don't we turn to, I believe it's the second tab in your binder.
- 24 A. Okay.
- Q. Do you see this tab is called an errata sheet?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And it says witness Phil Dunham?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Is that your signature at the bottom?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. So you did submit some edits to your deposition
- 7 transcript; right?
- 8 A. Yes. Now I recall.
- 9 Q. Okay. And you didn't submit any edits to what you
- said about the login process or indeed anything else that
- 11 was substantive; right?
- 12 A. No, these edits were misspellings of names.
- 13 Q. Right. Nothing substantive; right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \ Q. Okay. Now, it's also true that when a user tries
- 16 to log in using -- on Groupon's website using Facebook
- credentials that they get a token from Facebook; right?
- 18 A. I believe so.
- 19 Q. And that token cannot be used to identify the user;
- 20 | right?
- 21 A. I don't recall. I'm not -- I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Let's play the deposition testimony from 28,
- 23 **| 12 to 19.**
- 24 "Question: So the token that Groupon receives
- 25 from Facebook does not have enough information to uniquely

1 identify the user; is that fair?

"Answer: It is not -- it cannot be used to 3 identify that user. It is used to provide access to query Facebook for information about that user."

- Were you asked that question and did you give that Q. answer?
- 7 Α. Yes.

2

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

- 8 Now, the way that Groupon request information from Q. 9 Facebook is by using the token it gets from Facebook; right?
- 10 I don't recall. Α.
- Let's look at your deposition from at 28:20 to 23: 11 Q.

"Question: And the way in which Groupon queries Facebook for information about the user is by calling Facebook's graph API using the token?

"Answer: That's correct."

You do know, don't you, sir, that Groupon uses the email address that gets back from Facebook or Google to see if the user already has an account at Groupon; right?

- I think so. Α.
- 20 And the email address identifies the user to Groupon; 21 right?
- 22 Α. Yes.
 - That's how Groupon figures out if they have an 0. account already or not; right?
- 25 Α. Yes.

1 Q. If the user doesn't already have an account, then 2 Groupon creates the account for them; right?

- During a sign up process. Α.
- That's what I said. When the user doesn't Right. 0. 5 already have a user account; right?
 - Yes. Α.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

Okay. Just making sure.

Now, we went into a little bit about this, but I just want to make sure I understand. Users need to have a registered account to purchase Groupon deals; right?

- Α. 11 Yes.
- 12 And you would agree that Groupon's single-sign-on 13 using Google credentials or Facebook credentials is way of 14 making it easier for users to creat a user account; right?
- 15 You said single-sign-on? Α.
 - Well, let me ask you this. You would agree that Groupon's sign on using Google credentials or Facebook credentials is a way for making it easier for uses to create new user accounts; right?
 - It makes it easier for users to log in to Groupon's website.
- 22 And to create user accounts, too; right? Q.
- 23 If it's a sign up process. Α.
- 24 Right. So you can use it to log in or you can use it Q. 25 to create a new Groupon account; right?

- 1 A. I don't recall. Perhaps.
- 2 Q. It sounds right, doesn't it?
- A. I don't recall. I would defer to somebody who knows more about it.
 - Q. Let's play the deposition at 62, 3 through 10:

"Question: And you'd agree that the single-sign-on using Google credentials or Facebook credentials that Groupon has implemented on its website is a way of making it easier for a user to create a user account, among other things?

"Answer: It is a way to make it easier to create a user account, yes."

Were you asked that question and did you give that answer?

A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

24

- Q. And just to be clear, you were Groupon's corporate representative on how single-sign-on works; right?
- A. I was Groupon corporate representative for that deposition.
 - Q. So we talked a little bit about this timeline. We asked Mr. --
- MR. HADDEN: Objection. Outside the scope of the direct.
 - THE COURT: Let me give the jury their break.

 So no talking about the case during the break. We'll get

1 you back in just a little bit. 2 (Jury leaving the courtroom at 11:42 a.m.) 3 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you where you're 4 going with this. Do you want Mr. Dunham not here for that 5 discussion? 6 MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes, I would like to exclude him 7 for this discussion. 8 THE COURT: The rest of you can sit or leave as you wish. Mr. Dunham, I'll ask you to step outside. 9 10 you. 11 MR. OUSSAYEF: Your Honor, Mr. Dunham is 12 Groupon's corporate representative. He's the one that 13 Groupon chose to bring to its counsel's table and to 14 represent it as the face of Groupon. I think it's fair to ask him when Groupon first became aware of the patents, and 15 just -- so I think that's a fair question to ask him. 16 17 THE COURT: Even though it's undisputed? MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes, because there -- my line of 18 19 questioning will go to, you know, whether there has been any 20 changes to Groupon's products. 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hadden. 22 MR. HADDEN: I don't see any relevance. We have 23 a stipulation, we have already gone over the timeline with another witness. I don't see why he gets to ask every 24

witness the same question when we a have a stipulation in

1 effect.

THE COURT: The stipulation goes to the awareness. Is there a stipulation that there has been no changes in the time since the awareness?

MR. HADDEN: No, but their expert has analyzed our site and has opined there has been no changes.

THE COURT: Well, they're trying to get it admitted by your fact witness.

MS. SHAMILOV: May I address that, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Sure.

MS. SHAMILOV: I think there is nothing wrong with him asking Mr. Dunham if there were any changes made to the system. That's within his direct examination. What's wrong is to put this timeline and say but Groupon was aware of these patents then, then and then, that's outside the scope. And then say, and, even though you were aware, or imply that, that you knew about these patent that you did not change the system. That is prejudicial and that's —there is nothing wrong with asking whether the system was changed, he can ask that.

MR. OUSSAYEF: I think the length of time when there was no change is certainly a relevant issue and simply asking it kind of in a vacuum doesn't get the same information or context to something that's highly relevant.

THE COURT: All right. Weighing the prejudice

Dunham - cross

against the relevance and undisputed fact of the dates of the knowledge of the patents, we're not going to put up the timeline. You can orient him and say the jury has already heard, as he knows because he was here, that such and such was the dates of the knowledge. There have been no changes to the website since that time frame and that's about it. Understood?

MR. OUSSAYEF: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. SHAMILOV: The only thing I ask in the line of questioning, the language that counsel uses is the language of the uncontested facts so he doesn't muddy the waters, the uncontested facts they were specific, Groupon was aware of the patents. I don't want the counsel to be changing the language to imply that they did something different.

MR. OUSSAYEF: There is already an interrogatory response in evidence that says Groupon has been aware since this date when it learned from communications from IBM, that's the exact language I'm going to use. The interrogatory response is already in evidence.

THE COURT: He will do his best to track the language of the interrogatory.

Anything else before we take a short break?

MR. OUSSAYEF: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's take a short break.

1 (A brief recess was taken.)

2 THE COURT: Bring the jury back in.

THE COURT: We are ready. Mr. Oussayef, you may

5 proceed.

- 6 BY MR. OUSSAYEF:
- 7 \ Q. Mr. Dunham, Groupon first became aware of the '967
- 8 patent on November 1st, 2011 by communications with IBM;
- 9 | right?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- 11 \ \Q. And Groupon first became aware of the '849 patent in
- 12 2011 by communications with IBM, too; right?
- 13 A. That's before I joined.
- 15 A. That's my understanding.
- 16 \ \Q. And Groupon first became aware of the '601 patent in
- 2012 by communications with IBM, too; right?
- 18 A. That's my understanding.
- 19 Q. And Groupon first became aware of the '601 patent in
- 20 2014 by communications with IBM; right?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- 22 | Q. And you first became aware of this patent lawsuit in
- 23 **2016**; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And at your deposition, you testified that Groupon

Dunham - cross

	Baillain 01000
1	had never tried to design around the patents in this
2	lawsuit; true?
3	A. I believe so.
4	MR. OUSSAYEF: I have no further questions, Your
5	Honor. I do wish to offer Exhibit 103, PX-103.
6	MR. HADDEN: Objection, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
8	MR. HADDEN: Objection, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: What's the objection?
10	MR. HADDEN: It's handwritten notes.
11	MR. OUSSAYEF: No, the unmarked version.
12	MR. HADDEN: I have no problem with the unmarked
13	version.
14	THE COURT: The unmarked version will be
15	admitted.
16	MR. OUSSAYEF: I have marked the marked
17	version of 103 as Plaintiff's Demonstrative 1600, and then
18	marked the version of PX-1224 as Plaintiff's Demonstrative
19	PX-1601.
20	THE COURT: That's noted but not admitted into
21	the record.
22	MR. OUSSAYEF: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Any redirect?
24	MR. HADDEN: Yes.
25	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 103 was admitted.)

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. HADDEN:

3

4

5

6

Q. Hello, again, Mr. Dunham.

Before we had the break, IBM's counsel asked you some questions where he's talking about signing in and sign up, and he seemed like he was somewhat interchanging them.

- Just to be clear, what is signup at Groupon?
- 8 A. Signup is the creation of an account.
- 9 Q. Is that something that typically users do once?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 \ Q. And sign in, what is sign in at Groupon?
- 12 A. Sign in is establishing a login session at Groupon,
- communicating with Groupon's website that you are logging
- 14 into your account.
- Q. And signing in is what you have to do to make a purchase; right?
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. And you can sign in to a Groupon account using
- 19 Facebook or Google; correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And do you have to have created that account using
- 22 | Facebook and Google to sign in with it?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. So you can sign in to an account any way you want regardless of how the account was created; is that right?

- 1 A. That's right.
- 2 Q. But you're not the expert at Groupon on signing in
- 3 and signing up, are you?
- 4 A. No, I am not.
- 5 Q. Who would know more about that than you?
- 6 A. Jim Breen would know more about that than I.
- 7 Q. Is Jim Breen going to be testifying in this case?
- 8 A. **Yes.**
- 9 Q. Is Jim Breen the one you talked to to get information
- 10 from your deposition?
- 11 A. He was.
- 12 Q. Was Jim Breen later deposed by IBM?
- 13 A. He was.
- 14 Q. There was also some confusion I think between
- 15 multiple requests to come from a browser to render a page
- 16 and requests in a backend architecture. Do you remember
- 17 | those questions?
- 18 A. I remember them.
- 19 Q. Can you just explain that a little bit? Could we get
- 20 up that image -- sorry.
- Just start with you talked about time to first
- 22 | bite depending on the HTML. Can you explain that?
- 23 A. Yes. So when the user's browser request a web page,
- 24 the first thing they're requesting is the HTML document. So
- 25 that request goes to an ITA, the ITA before it returns any

part in the first bite of the HTML document it may have to make some other requests to backend services in order to produce that HTML document.

The HTML document is the first thing that will be downloaded to the user's browser. Within that HTML document there may be other links to images that would then be downloaded once the first part of the HTML document is in the user's browser.

- Q. And I think you testified earlier that the HTML itself is not cached at the user?
- 11 A. That's correct.

- Q. So if we take this picture as an example, what part of this would be the first bite, or the time to first bite would be dictated by what?
 - A. The HTML document itself which includes all the text, that wouldn't include any of the images. The browser doesn't know what images it needs to download or display until it gets the HTML document itself into the browser, and then that HTML document will tell the browser I want to display these images as part of this web page.
 - Q. So that the initial latency is determined not by whether the user is caching images, but by how fast you make the HTML?
 - A. How fast we get that HTML document to the user's browser in the first place, yes.

- Q. Do engineers at Groupon try to figure out how to get that HTML to the browser fast?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

- Q. Do you spend a lot of time trying to do that?
- 5 A. We spend a lot of time trying to do that.
- Q. Does that have anything to do with whether or not the user has caching images?
- A. Caching images doesn't effect the time to first bite, no.
- 10 Q. And we have seen various documents that IBM has put
 11 up to talk about how getting that time to first bite down
 12 means that Groupon ultimately may make more sales. Have you
 13 seen those documents?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All of those improvements in latency and time to first bite and those documents, does that have anything to do with users caching images?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And why not?
- A. We're -- our latency efforts are really focused on
 the time to first bite getting the HTML to the users browser
 more quickly. What we focus on to improve latency in
 regards to images is making sure that the images are the
 size of those files, or as small as possible so when they
 are downloaded, they're downloaded quickly.

Q. Is it fair to say that whatever benefits there are to latency, users caching images is something that is ubiquitous across the web?

- A. Caching images is best practice for almost every website on the web.
- Q. Has it been that best practice for a while?
- 7 A. Yes.

4

5

6

18

- 8 With respect to browsing and whether or not Groupon Q. 9 controls whether users cache images, you were asked some 10 questions and shown some testimony from your deposition. Let me just put up some other testimony right below it. Do 11 12 you see that I think counsel for IBM put up this question, 13 he asked you what factors beyond the caching instructions 14 are delivered in the headers in the HTTP. He asked you 15 another question. He said, assuming the user does not 16 essentially clear the browser cache. So is it correct that 17 whatever caching headers you sent will only be effective if
- 19 A. That's correct.

the user is caching?

- 20 Q. And the user decides that; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 \parallel Q. There were some other questions about a pledge ID.
- 23 Do you recall those?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And IBM's counsel showed you this Mustache template.

- 1 Do you recall that?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Is there any difference in the way that Groupon
- 4 processes this Mustache template than the one you and I
- 5 walked through?
- 6 | A. No.
- 7 \ Q. And is it also the case with this Mustache template
- 8 that it is part of the deal page ITA?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 | Q. And is it also true of this Mustache template that it
- 11 does not come from the layout service?
- 12 A. That's true.
- 13 Q. Is it, in fact, true that it does not come from any
- 14 backend service?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 \ Q. And the URL that, or the place holder for URL here,
- 17 | is that treated in the same way as the one you and I
- 18 | discussed?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 \parallel Q. Counsel for IBM played some testimony, or talked
- 21 about some testimony from your deposition where you said
- 22 that you didn't know the details of the deal page. Do you
- 23 recall that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Have you ever managed the team for the deal page,

- 1 deal page ITA?
- 2 A. No, I have not.
- 3 Q. Have you managed the team for the home team ITA?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. Do those operate in the same -- similar way as we saw
- 6 in your diagram?
- 7 A. They use the same, similar technology, yes.
- 8 \ Q. Do they use the same backend services?
- 9 A. Yes, they do.
- 10 Q. Do they use the same Mustache templates?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 \ Q. Not the same, but the same type of Mustache template?
- 13 A. They use Mustache templates in the same way, yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Going back to latency just for a minute, IBM's

 counsel asked you some questions, showing you some documents

 from other people talking about surveys and about the effect

 of latency on web sales. Do you recall that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any opinions of your own about the effect of latency on sales and revenue?
- A. Well, this is a disputed topic at Groupon. There is
 a balance between serving a very fast page and serving a
 dynamic page that has relevant content customized to that
 specific user. So Groupon has never done any internal

studies that measure specific impact of like how much
revenue would be gained or lost by improving or by changing,
by the change in latency positive or negative. We don't
know -- we don't know for a fact that if the page improves X
number of seconds that we will make X much more money. We
haven't established that at Groupon.

- Q. Now, I'm sure we can all agree that if it takes ten seconds to get a page, you probably would be losing customers?
- A. I would agree with that.
 - Q. And the balance you're talking about is if you're going to show more interesting information, it may take longer but that may be more beneficial at the end of the day, is that what you're talking about?
- 15 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

- Q. Counsel for IMB put up this web page and drew this circles and wrote some stuff. Now, these things in circles, are these links?
- 19 A. They are hyperlinks.
- Q. And these are links that will take you like all hyperlinks do to other web pages?
- 22 A. Like other links on that page.
- 23 Q. So, for example, there are some links over on this
 24 side. Will these also take you to different categories of
 25 deals on Groupon?

- 1 A. Yes, they will.
- 2 Q. Okay. So is it any difference between these links
- 3 and these links in that regard?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Okay. And, in fact, aren't all these pictures links,
- 6 too?
- 7 A. Yes, they are.
- 8 \ Q. Okay. And this image that you focused on, isn't that
- 9 a link as well?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. Has Groupon done anything to its
- website or its backend services to improve them since you
- 13 have been at Groupon?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \ Q. And I forgot again. When did you start at Groupon?
- 16 A. I started on October of 2015.
- 17 Q. So since 2015, you have been trying to improve the
- 18 website?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 **Q. Why?**
- 21 A. To have a better experience for our customers.
- 22 \parallel Q. Okay. Have you tried to change the website because
- of IBM's patents?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. And you have been here through the whole case,

	Dunham - redirect
1	haven't you, Mr. Dunham?
2	A. Yes, I have.
3	Q. Have you seen any evidence that would suggest to you
4	that Groupon uses IBM patents?
5	A. No.
6	MR. HADDEN: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Are you done?
8	MR. HADDEN: I'm done.
9	THE COURT: You may step down.
10	MR. HADDEN: May he step down or be released as
11	a witness?
12	THE COURT: Well, he is certainly stepping down.
13	Can he be released as a witness?
14	MR. DESMARAIS: He is the corporate
15	representative. He is staying here.
16	MR. HADDEN: Well, he can watch. But can he be
17	MR. DESMARAIS: Well, I think if he stays here,
18	he is subject to possibly being recalled.
19	MR. HADDEN: Okay.
20	THE COURT: He is possibly being recalled. You
21	can step down.
22	MR. HADDEN: Okay.
23	THE COURT: You may call your next witness.
24	MR. HADDEN: Let me have just a second.
25	Groupon will call Jim Breen, a technical witness

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Breen - direct from Groupon who will explain single-sign-on systems at Groupon, and how they use Facebook and Google's APIs. ... JAMES P. BREEN, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows ... THE COURT: Welcome, Mr. Breen. MR. HAACK: Good morning, Your Honor. May I proceed? THE COURT: Yes, good afternoon. You may proceed. MR. HAACK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, my name is Phil Haack. I'm an attorney for Groupon, and I'll be talking to you today with Mr. Breen. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAACK: Good afternoon, Mr. Breen. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury? Sure. My name is Jim Breen. I'm a Senior Α. Engineering Manager at Groupon. And how long have you been at Groupon? Q. Α. Almost seven years now. Could you tell the jury just a little bit about your Q. background with computers? I grew up in the Chicago suburbs. Sure. back before every kid has their own computer, and there was even a computer in every classroom. And my grade school had Breen - direct

a computer lab with old Apple 2Es before they even made

MacIntoshes. And we used to get to go there a few days a

week sometimes to play games like Oregon Trail but also to

learn basic programming language and try to create our own

little programs. I tried to write my own game at one point.

Later on in high school, I had a motivational teacher in a programming class I took there, Mr. Kramer.

And one day, we walked into the classroom at the beginning of class, and Mr. Kramer had the curtains all closed in the room. I didn't know why. And he said I've got a new program to show you. And he hit "enter" on the computer and it didn't do anything. He seemed a little frustrated and said: I don't know why this isn't working. And he opened the curtains and suddenly the computer came to life. And: This is bright, too bright.

And what he had done is hook up a light sensor to the computer, and that sensed the light coming from the window and turned the computer on. And I thought that was pretty neat. That was the first time I had ever seen any kind of sensor sensing the environment with a computer.

That made an impression on me.

- Q. Thanks. And where did you get your education?
- A. So I went to college at the University of Notre Dame.

 I became aware of the school growing up because my dad was a
 fan of the football team, but I learned it was a good school

when I started looking at colleges.

I started with studying mathematics there and found that math was, at that level was very abstract and theoretical, too much so for my tastes, and I had friends who were a year older than me in the computer engineering program and I saw that they got to write these programs and sort of do more hands-on work and that appealed to me more than math so I switched to major in that.

- Q. And did you complete your degree?
- A. Yes. I have a Bachelor's of Science degree in Computer engineering.
- Q. And can you tell me about your career leading up to Groupon?
 - A. Out of school, I got a job at a company called

 TelLabs which s was a telecommunications equipment

 manufacturer. I wrote embedded software for those systems.

In the late 90s, when the Internet became very hot, I started working for a consulting firm whose clients were mostly companies that needed websites to be built, and that is where I first started working on web programming and Internet development.

Unfortunately, in the dot com craze in the 2000s, most of our clients went out of business and so did we, but I continued to work for different companies doing different web and Internet web-related work.

I worked for a consulting company that was
acquired by Groupon in 2011 and that is how I started at
Groupon.

Q. And what is your title at group?

A. Senior Engineering Manager.

- Q. And what are your responsibilities as a Senior Engineering Manager?
- A. I currently manage the financial engineering
 development team which is the team at Groupon that is
 responsible for building and maintaining systems that
 automate Groupon's payments to its merchants.
 - Q. Have you managed any other teams at Groupon?
 - A. Yes. Prior to joining the financial engineering development team a year ago, I managed the users team at Groupon. And the users team builds and maintains systems related to user accounts.
- 17 Q. And how long were you on the users team?
- 18 A. Almost six years.

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you mentioned user accounts. What do you mean when you say that?
 - A. You, any user can browse Groupon's site and deals without being logged in, but to purchase you need to be logged in, and user accounts are the core record in Groupon's database that allows someone to log in and that core record contains a user ID that Groupon generates with

1 an e-mail address and a name.

- Q. And how can a user create an account at Groupon?
- A. There is a few different ways that the user can create an account on Groupon. One of the ways is via a sign-up link navigation. I think we might have some slides.
 - O. Sure.

- A. So at the top right, it might be hard to see, the red circle surrounds it. It says sign up. That link is right next to another link that says sign in, a little below it and to the left. The user can click on sign in if they want to create a new account at Groupon there. And when they do that, that will take them to a different screen, different web page.
- Q. Is that the web page?
- A. Yes. And on this web page, the user has the option to specify whether or not they have an account or whether they're a new customer and because they click the sign up link and knew they didn't have an account, this page defaults to show they're a new customer.

On this page, they can enter their name, e-mail address and password, and click the sign up link. When they do, that will create a new account for them and take them back to the page they were on but have them be logged in.

Q. And are there other ways a user can create an account on Groupon?

Breen - direct

A. Yes. So we showed going from that top that link on the top, top of the screen, and that link is on any page, so they don't need to be on that page we showed. Any page, they can, pretty much any page they can do that. But also if they're viewing a specific deal and they like it and they want decide to buy it, they click the buy link and they are not logged in, they will have an option to create an account through that flow as well.

And then for whether they clicked the link on the top of the page or clicked the buy button and come to this page, the net flow, they also have these options at the bottom of the screen which are to sign up with Facebook or to sign up with Google.

- Q. And what does it mean at Groupon that a user can sign up with Facebook or sign up with Google?
- A. It means that instead of entering their name, e-mail and password, as we showed before, the user can log in with their Facebook credentials or their Google credentials. So they can use their, their user name and password from Facebook or the user name and password from Google in order to be logged in and have an account.
- Q. And if they have that account, do they need to have a separate Groupon password?
- A. No, they do not.
- Q. Can they have a separate Groupon password?

- 1 A. Yes, they can.
- 2 Q. So if I create an account here, let's say with
- 3 | Facebook, and I come back in a week, do I have to use
- 4 Facebook to log in?
- 5 A. No, you don't.
- 6 \ Q. I can use Google to log in?
- 7 A. Yes, you can use Google.
- 8 Q. I can use Groupon to log in?
- 9 A. Yes, you can as long as you added a password to the account.
- 11 Q. And how does Groupon know how to make this Facebook
 12 and Google login process work?
- A. Facebook and Google both provide software and
 instructions that detail the process and make those
 available. And Groupon follows those instructions about how
 to integrate with the Facebook login and Google login.
- Q. I see. And, Mr. Breen, if you look at your binder, you will see you have a tab marked DX-0208.
- 19 A. I don't know if I have a binder.
- 20 Q. Yes. Well, that's my mistake.
- THE COURT: That's somebody else's.
- 22 | THE WITNESS: It doesn't have my name on it.
- 23 THE COURT: Right.
- MR. HAACK: May I approach the witness, Your
- 25 | Honor.

1	THE COURT: You may approach.
2	(Binders passed forward.)
3	BY MR. HAACK:
4	Q. Now I think you have a binder in front of you.
5	A. I do.
6	Q. I hope that binder has a tab labeled DX-0208?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And have you seen this document before, Mr. Breen?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And what is this document?
11	A. This is a web page from Facebook's site for
12	developers that has documentation about how to use Facebook
13	login.
14	Q. Is this the type of documentation that Google's
15	engineers sorry. Is this the type of documents that
16	Groupon's engineers use to build and maintain the Facebook
17	sign-in feature?
18	A. Yes, it is.
19	MR. HAACK: Your Honor, I'd like to offer as
20	document evidence, Plaintiff's Exhibit 208.
21	MR. DESMARAIS: No objection.
22	THE COURT: It's admitted. I think it's
23	Defendant's Exhibit 208.
24	MR. HAACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	(DX-208 was admitted into evidence.)

1 BY MR. HAACK: 2 Mr. Breen, you mentioned some documentation from 3 Facebook. Is that documentation publicly available? It is. Anybody, anybody can access it and it's 4 5 freely available. 6 Now, let's talk a little bit about how the Facebook 7 sign-in process works. 8 So when the user clicks this sign-up with 9

Facebook button that we can see here, what happens?

A. So going back to when the page loads, when the page first loads, the web browser downloads a piece of software from Facebook called a Facebook SDK. SDK stands for Software Development Kit, and it's just a piece of code library written by Facebook that gets used in the user's browser. That SDK is wired up to the sign-up with Facebook's button, so when the user pushes the button, Facebook's SDK runs, and it is going to send a request to the --

- Q. Whoa. Sorry about that.
- 20 A. -- sends a request to the Facebook server.

MR. HAACK: Brian, do you have another clicker?

There we go.

23 BY MR. HAACK:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

Q. Sorry about that, Mr. Breen. Technical difficulties.

Let's try that again.

Case 1:16 cv-00122-LPS Document 411 Filed 09/18/18 Page 165 of 181 PageID #: 19799

Breen - direct

That's fine. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Breen.

A. When the user clicks the button, the Facebook SDK in the browser sends a request to the Facebook servers, and Facebook's servers send a response back to the browser.

(Clickers exchanged.)

BY MR. HAACK:

Facebook account.

- Q. So Facebook sends a response back to the browser?
- A. Yes, Facebook sends a response back to the browser.

 With that response, the SDK pulls this popup page up, and
 this popup page is a page from Facebook's website you can
 see from the URL there. And it prompts the user to enter
 their e-mail address and password in order to log into their

And once they are done with that, they can press login then. And then Facebook SDK in the browser will send another request out to Facebook servers containing that information. Facebook's servers will check the credentials and send a response back saying, asking the user to grant permissions for Facebook to share some of their user information from their Facebook account with group.

Once the user granted that permission, they can click continue, by clicking continue they grant that permission and the request is sent from the browser back to Facebook and Facebook then creates an access token and sends it back to the browser in the Facebook SDK.

Q. And pause right there for one second. We went through a lot of things right there. So at the very beginning of the process, when a user clicks on the signup with the Facebook button, the web browser does not contact Groupon system?

- A. No, it does not.
- 7 \ Q. And why is that?

- A. Because the instruction provided by Facebook for how to use, how to integrate with Facebook login specify this process.
- 11 Q. And you just mentioned an access token. What is an access token?
 - An access token is a credential that proves identity of whoever has it or grants them some kind of permission or privilege. On a computer, an access token is usually a string of texts, like a lot of letters and numbers strung together. And whichever, a system that has that token is able to access data or perform operations on another system that trust that token. You can think of it like a hotel key card. Because I have a hotel key card, I can get access to my hotel room, and like the hotel key card gives access to the hotel room, when Groupon has a Facebook access token it disables the Facebook access data on servers.
 - Q. And the Facebook -- sorry, Groupon has a Facebook access token for when I start this login process, can that

	Breen - direct
1	access token be used to get data about anybody?
2	A. Only about the user who is signed in.
3	Q. Mr. Breen, can you turn in your binder to exhibit
4	DX-0388?
5	A. 0388?
6	Q. That's right.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. Do you recognize this document?
9	A. I do.
10	Q. What is this document?
11	A. This document is another page from the Facebook
12	developers site. And it's a piece of documentation about
13	access tokens and what they are.
14	Q. And like the prior document, is this another document
15	that the engineers on the user team would have used to be
16	able to maintain the Facebook login feature?
17	A. Yes.
18	MR. HAACK: Your Honor, I would like to submit
19	this as evidence.
20	MR. DESMARAIS: No objection.
21	THE COURT: What's the number?
22	MR. HAACK: DDX-038.
23	THE COURT: It's admitted.
24	(Exhibit DX-38 was admitted.)
25	BY MR. HAACK:

Breen - direct

Q. Mr. Breen, let's go back to the Facebook login here.

You received an access token from Facebook at the browser.

What happens after that?

A. So the user browser will then send that access token to Groupon servers. And on Groupon servers there is a piece of software program called the user service which then takes the access token and makes an API call to Facebook. An API is a -- stands for application programmer interface, and you can think of it like, it's like a program that runs on Facebook servers that allows other systems to interact with Facebook servers.

So the user service will make an API call to Facebook servers, to the Facebook API on the Facebook servers and it will pass that access token.

And Facebook will return a response, Facebook will validate the access token first of all, and identify which user is associated with that access token is only associated with the user who went through the login process and will return information back to the user service from that Facebook account.

- Q. And what does Groupon do with the Facebook access token? I'm sorry, what information does Facebook get back after it sends the access token?
- A. Groupon gets back a Facebook user ID, email address and name from the Facebook servers.

Q. And why does Groupon call Facebook API with the access token?

- A. Because that's what Facebook instructions specify to do, so they do it.
- Q. And after Groupon has that information that came from the Facebook user info response, what does the user service do with it?
 - A. User service will take the Facebook user ID and email address that it got back and it will look to see if there is an existing user account in Groupon's database for either of the Facebook user ID or the email address. If it finds an existing record it will log the user in as that, into that account. And if it does not find an existing record, it will create an account for that user using the name and email address that came back.
 - Q. So one of two things will happen, it will either log the person in or create an account at that point?
- 18 A. Yes.

- Q. And what information does Groupon have to have in order to create an account?
 - A. Groupon has to have a name and an email address.

 Without those, we are not able to create an account in the Groupon database.
- Q. And does Groupon need any other form of identification to create an account like a driver's license

1 or Social Security number or credit card?

A. No, we don't.

in.

Q. And in the flow we were just talking about, what happens if the information that Groupon receives from

5 Facebook doesn't contain a name or doesn't contain an email?

- A. If it doesn't contain those, then it's not going to be able to find an existing account in Groupon's database and it's not going to be able to create a new one. In that case it will return an error, the user will not be logged
- Q. And the signup flow here that we just discussed for Facebook on the website, is it different from the way it works on Groupon's mobile applications?
- A. No. The way the flow works on the website and the mobile apps is substantially the same. The interactions with the Groupon and Facebook servers are the same. One minor difference is that as I mentioned at the beginning, when the web page mode downloads the SDK from the Facebook servers, the way that mobile apps work, when you install them it's everything packaged up, so the mobile app that gets installed already has the Facebook SDK packaged in with it, so that piece of software that's written by Facebook is part of the app when it's installed on the mobile device. It still handles all the interaction from the mobile device to the Facebook servers and also is what it manages

displaying on the screens to the user to have prompt for their Facebook credentials.

- Q. Mr. Breen, I believe earlier you said that the Facebook SDK that runs in a user web browsers is just downloaded from the web on page mode; right?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Where does the Facebook SDK for a mobile app come from?
 - A. It also comes -- it comes from Facebook. We're able to download it, developers are able to download it from the Facebook developer site in order to package it as part of the mobile apps.
- Q. So we just discussed account creation with Facebook on Google. Does Facebook encourage websites to use this account creation and sign in technology?
 - A. They do. They promote it on their developer site and encourage -- they promote it and encourage companies to use it. It's in their best interest to have more people making use of Facebook. Many websites use Facebook login. Any time you see a login with Facebook button similar to the ones on Groupon's site, they're using Facebook login on that website.
- Q. And Mr. Breen, what do we see on this slide?
- A. This is a web page from Facebook's developer site talking about access tokens. It's just sort of summarizing

that the quote here is summarizing that when the user goes through the Facebook login process and approves the request for permissions, that the app in this case, Groupon, is obtaining the access token that provides the temporary secure access to Facebook APIs. As we discussed the user service on Groupon servers has temporary access to Facebook APIs.

- Q. And what is this page, Mr. Breen?
 - A. This is another page from Facebook's developer site that's part of their developer documentation. They have a lot of documentation to make sure developers have an easy time integrating the Facebook. This particular page is talking about how to make API calls to the Facebook API.
 - Q. And API calls, are those the calls that you're talking about that go from the user service to Facebook?
- A. Yes.

- 17 Q. What about this one, Mr. Breen?
 - A. This page is an excerpt from the one we looked at earlier, and it's describing here that Facebook login,

 Facebook is saying that Facebook login is allowing people to create accounts in the third-party apps, so making it more convenient for them to log in. It's saying you can create an account.
 - Q. So Facebook's instructions tell Google that it's okay to make accounts using the Facebook --

A. Yes.

- Q. Has Groupon, Groupon engineers ever interacted with Facebook about that feature?
 - A. We have interacting with Facebook engineers on a number of occasions to investigate specific issues as well as to discuss the app review process for Facebook.
- 7 Q. So Facebook knows that you're using this feature?
 - A. Yes, they do. Every app developer within Groupon has to register their app on a Facebook developer site. There is a lot of settings and configuration to fill in. You have to get a Facebook app ID and app secret generated that are needed when the API calls to Facebook so the API calls know which app is contacting them. And there is also settings in there that are specific to the mobile apps and to the website so that the Facebook app is -- works correctly with the mobile apps and the website.
 - Q. Let's move on.

So we're back here at the slide with all the different options here. Now I would like to talk about Google a little bit. So how did Groupon know how to implement sign up with Google?

A. So, like Facebook, Google provides a lot of documentation and instructions as well as software about how to integrate websites and mobile apps with Google login.

And Groupon has followed those instructions and used the

- 1 software.
- 2 \ Q. And I believe you have in your binder in exhibit
- 3 DX-209. Can you turn to that.
- 4 A. DX-209?
- 5 Q. That's right.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. What is it?
- 10 A. This is a page from the Google developer website.
- 11 It's documentation about how to implement Google sign in for
- 12 Android devices that are connected with backend servers.
- 13 Q. And is this documentation that Groupon engineers
- 14 | would use to implement Google signup?
- 15 A. Yes, we do.
- 16 \ Q. And I would like to turn your attention to the back
- page. I'll put it up here on the Elmo. You see the section
- 18 right here, Mr. Breen, create an account or session section?
- 19 A. **Yes**.
- 20 \ Q. What's that section saying?
- 21 A. That section is saying that with the access token
- 22 | that the user is -- with the access token for the user, that
- 23 the third party, Groupon in this case can check if the user
- 24 is already in their database and if so log them in, or if
- 25 | the account does not exist at the database, that an account

record can be created for that user. So it's saying to create a new account.

- Q. Let's go back. So how does signup with Facebook work with Groupon?
- A. Signup with Facebook.

- Q. I'm sorry, signup with Google?
- A. So signup with Google works very similarly to the way Facebook works. Although there is two different flows that Google supports. They support the one time code flow and the ID token flow.
- Q. Why don't we start with the one time code flow. Can you tell us a little bit about how that works?
 - A. Sure. Like with Facebook, the user clicks on the signup with Google button and a request is sent from the user's browser to Google's servers and Google responds. And the response results in the browser displaying a popup dialogue page where the user, and that dial up page is a page from Google's website, and the Google page is asking the user to enter their email address for their account. So they can do that and click next, and the question goes to Google servers and the response comes back and they have it displace another pop up pages from Google's website asking the user to enter their Google password.

The user can enter their user password and click next and the request goes off to Google servers again, and

another response comes back from Google service to the browser. And the next page also from Google's website prompts the user to confirm which account they want to allow to be connected to Groupon's app. And when that is submitted, another request goes back to Google. Now Google generates a one time authentication code that is sent back from Google servers to the user's browser.

Q. What happens after that?

- A. The browser sends the one-time authentication code to Groupon's servers, and Groupon's servers again, the user service program running on the Groupon servers will take that auth code and make an API call to the Google API which just like Facebook, which is a program running on Google's servers.
- Q. And does that authentication code that we're seeing here that Groupon got from Google via the user's browser, does that have any data about a user like an email address or a name or anything that Groupon can make an account with?
- A. No, it does not.
- Q. What does it have?
 - A. It has nothing, it's just, it's -- from Groupon's perspective, it's just a random code in a string of texts.

 It's just something that we were able to pass to Google.

 There is nothing that Groupon can interpret from it.
- 25 Q. In that sense is it like the Facebook token we talked

about before?

- A. Yeah, similar to the Facebook access token, it does not have anything that Groupon can read out of it. Both, both tokens, they're only meaningfully to the system that generates them.
 - Q. And what does Groupon do with the authentication code?
 - A. Groupon passes it in an API call to the Google servers. And the Google API passes back an access token. That access token is also opaque to Groupon, there is no information associated with it that Groupon can do anything with. It's just a piece of data that came back from Google and is only meaningfully to Google.
- Q. And does Groupon do anything with the access token?
 - A. Groupon will make another API call to Google with that access token. And the response comes back from Google containing data from the user's Google account that the user is granted permission to share with Groupon.
 - Q. And now, you used a handful of technical terms there and I just kind of want to go over them in case the jury isn't super familiar with them. You mentioned SDK a few times. What is SDK.
 - A. SDK stands for software development kit. It's software written by a company, in this case, Google, and previously Facebook that will allow the -- they write this

software for other companies or sites to use.

interact with those servers and access data.

- Q. And you also mentioned an API. What is an API?
- A. An API is an Application Programming Interface. And it is a piece of software program that runs on Google's servers or on Facebook's servers that lets other systems
- Q. So the SDK runs on someone else's servers than the person who wrote them and the API runs on the server of the person who wrote it?
- A. That's correct.

- THE COURT: Mr. Haack, I think that is probably a good point to stop.
- MR. HAACK: Yes, that sounds good, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, that concludes our time together this week.
 - On Monday, we expect to have a full day, 9:00 to 4:30. While you're away from us, no talking about the case, no research or reading anything related to the case. Please enjoy your weekend, and we'll look for you Monday morning.

(Jury left courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Breen, you may step down.

And I think it's a good time to argue your motions if you want to do that. Anybody that wants to stay can stay.

MS. SHAMILOV: Your Honor, I just need a couple

1 minutes. 2 THE COURT: A couple minutes? That's fine. 3 We'll come back in a couple minutes. 4 MS. SHAMILOV: Thank you. 5 (Brief recess taken.) 6 7 (Proceedings reconvened after recess.) 8 THE COURT: Do you want to argue your motion? 9 You may do so. MS. SHAMILOV: Actually, we have a new proposal. 10 11 I think it's a joint proposal. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. SHAMILOV: Because of the sheer volume of 14 pages that we would be reading into the record and it will 15 take time, the parties discussed and thought that the best 16 thing would be is to just say nothing is a waiver, we 17 preserve all our motions, and we'll just file everything written in our final JMOLs, and to the extent there are 18 19 issues that would impact final jury instructions, for 20 example, if there is a particular Rule 50 motion on an issue 21 no evidence was put upon, we can resolve those as part of the final jury instructions. 22 23 THE COURT: And you would not file anything in 24 writing during the trial?

MS. SHAMILOV: Yes.

I think that is our

1 proposal. 2 THE COURT: Is that a joint proposal? 3 MR. DESMARAIS: It's agreeable. Yes, Your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. If that is agreeable to you, 6 all, that's fine. 7 MS. SHAMILOV: Okay. 8 THE COURT: So we won't put any more time into 9 motions. 10 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Certainly, at an appropriate time, 12 if you have another motion, or if you have a motion on IBM's 13 side, you will at least have to note that. 14 MS. SHAMILOV: Yes, but neither party is waiving 15 anything. 16 THE COURT: As far as I'm concerned, I 17 understand you are not waiving anything. And if you are 18 comfortable with that, that's fine by me. 19 MR. DESMARAIS: Yes, Your Honor. With the one 20 exception that to the extent, as she said, somethings need 21 to be resolved before it goes to the jury, we would bring up the motion in that particular instance but otherwise we'll 22 23 just make the JMOLs in the filing post-trial. 24 THE COURT: You each have the right to depart 25 from this general agreement and ask me for a ruling on

Case 1:16	cv-00122-LPS Document 411 Filed 09/18/18 Page 181 of 181 PageID #: 19815
1	something and argue it at that time, if you wish to. That's
2	fine.
3	MR. DESMARAIS: Perfect.
4	MS. SHAMILOV: That works.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MS. SHAMILOV: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Is there anything else before we
8	break?
9	MS. SHAMILOV: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I hope you get to notice it
11	is the weekend a little bit. I'll see you Monday morning.
12	We will be in recess.
13	(Proceedings adjourned at 1:14 p.m.)
14	
15	I hereby certify the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript from my stenographic notes in the proceeding.
16	cranscript from my stemographic notes in the proceeding.
17	/s/ Brian P. Gaffigan
18	Official Court Reporter U.S. District Court
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	